ABSTRACT

Abnormal uterine bleeding in premenopausal women is a common gynaecological symptom and composes of abnormality in the frequency, duration, regularity, and flow volume of menstruation. It could constitute the presentation of various gynaecological malignancies. An appropriate history and physical examination are mandatory to ascertain the diagnosis. Depending on the clinical condition, a complete blood picture, thyroid function test, clotting profile, chlamydia test, cervical smear, and pregnancy test can be performed. Ultrasound should be performed in cases with a pelvic mass, unsatisfactory physical examination, persistent symptoms, or no response to medical treatment. In women aged ≥40 years, an out-patient endometrial biopsy with Pipelle should be performed. In women aged <40 years with risk factors for endometrial cancer, persistent symptoms, or no response to medical treatment, an endometrial biopsy should be performed to rule out endometrial cancer. Hysteroscopy or saline infusion sonohysterography is more sensitive than ultrasound for diagnosing endometrial pathology. Details of the above recommendations are presented.

Introduction

Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is a common problem in gynaecological practice and represents a major proportion of out-patient attendance. A postal survey in the United Kingdom found that AUB and its subgroup, heavy menstrual bleeding, affected 15% to 25% of women aged 18 to 54 years.1 In Hong Kong, the prevalence of AUB is not available, but the following reference provides some information. According to the 2014 Hong Kong College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Territory Wide Audit, the numbers of hospital admissions with the diagnoses of ‘menorrhagia’ and ‘dysfunctional uterine bleeding’ were 4080 and 3806, respectively.2 There were 6455 diagnostic hysteroscopies and 3075 hysteroscopic procedures conducted.2 Of all operative hysteroscopic procedures performed, the numbers of polypectomies and myomectomies were 2468 (80%) and 380 (12%), respectively.2 Although many patients with AUB might not require admission, the case volume mentioned in the above report may reflect the scope of the problem locally.

As patterns of investigation became diversified, a guideline on AUB was considered necessary. Premenopausal women are targeted by this guideline. Postmenopausal bleeding is caused by a different disease spectrum and is not included in this guideline.

Definitions and initial investigations of abnormal uterine bleeding

The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics classifies AUB in the reproductive years into chronic versus acute non-gestational AUB.3 Chronic non-gestational AUB is defined as bleeding from the uterine corpus that is abnormal in frequency, duration, regularity, and/or volume (Table 1) and has been present for the majority of the preceding 6 months. Acute AUB is defined as an episode of heavy bleeding that, in the clinician’s opinion, is of sufficient quantity to require immediate intervention to minimise or prevent further blood loss. Menorrhagia is heavy cyclical menstrual blood loss over several consecutive cycles without any
intermenstrual or postcoital bleeding (ie, without cycle disturbance). The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) defines heavy menstrual bleeding as excessive menstrual loss that interferes with a woman’s physical, social, emotional, and/or material quality of life. Intermenstrual bleeding, premenstrual and postmenstrual spotting, and perimenopausal bleeding can be considered as dysfunctional uterine bleeding after exclusion of organic causes.

Obtaining an accurate menstrual history is mandatory to guide the clinician’s diagnosis and understand the impact on a woman’s quality of life (online supplementary Appendix 1). On general examination, any pallor or thyroid gland enlargement should be noted. If there are features suggestive of thyroid dysfunction or coagulopathy from history or physical examination, a thyroid function test or coagulopathy screening can be ordered accordingly. History suggestive of coagulopathy includes heavy menstrual bleeding since menarche, a family history of coagulopathy, easy bruising, bleeding of the gums, and epistaxis. However, routine thyroid function tests or coagulopathy screening are not recommended in all patients with menorrhagia. Speculum or bimanual examination could elucidate the causes for abnormal bleeding, such as cervical polyps, cervical carcinoma, uterine fibroids, adenomyosis, or ovarian tumours (online supplementary Appendix 1). The following investigations can be arranged depending on the clinical situation: (1) complete blood count to look for anaemia; (2) pregnancy test; (3) ultrasound or transvaginal ultrasonography (D&C) under various modes of anaesthesia. Transvaginal ultrasonography produces better image quality because of its higher frequency, which allows greater image resolution at the expense of decreased depth of penetration. In patients in whom physical examination is impossible or unsatisfactory, or symptoms persist despite medical treatment, an ultrasound should also be arranged. Pelvic ultrasound can be useful for detecting gross endometrial or myometrial pathology such as fibroids and adenomyosis. However, pelvic ultrasonography does not replace an endometrial biopsy.

**Endometrial assessment**

There are five main methods of endometrial assessment: ultrasound scanning, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), endometrial biopsy or aspirate, hysteroscopy, and dilatation and curettage (D&C) under various modes of anaesthesia.

**Ultrasound scanning**

Ultrasound scanning, particularly the transvaginal route, is used to assess endometrial thickness, endometrial and myometrial consistency, and abnormalities of the endometrial lining (eg, submucosal fibroids or polyps). However, most studies have investigated the endometrial thickness of postmenopausal women. Smith-Bindman et al observed that the average endometrial thicknesses were 4 mm, 10 mm, 14 mm, and 20 mm in normal postmenopausal women, those with endometrial polyps, those with endometrial hyperplasia, and those with endometrial carcinoma, respectively. However, the prediction of endometrial pathology based on ultrasound results in premenopausal women was not reliable because of the great overlap between the normal range and that of women with endometrial pathology.

The NICE guideline recommends that in patients with examination suggestive of fibroids, a pelvic ultrasound should be performed. Depending on the size of the uterus, transvaginal or transabdominal ultrasonography could be performed. Transvaginal ultrasonography produces better image quality because of its higher frequency, which allows greater image resolution at the expense of decreased depth of penetration. In patients in whom physical examination is impossible or unsatisfactory, or symptoms persist despite medical treatment, an ultrasound should also be arranged. Pelvic ultrasound can be useful for detecting gross endometrial or myometrial pathology such as fibroids and adenomyosis. However, pelvic ultrasonography does not replace an endometrial biopsy.

### TABLE 1. Abnormal uterine bleeding symptoms according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Absent (no bleeding) = amenorrhoea</th>
<th>Infrequent (≥ 24 d)</th>
<th>Normal (≤ 24 d)</th>
<th>Prolonged (&gt;24 d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Normal (≤ 7 d)</td>
<td>Prolonged (&gt;7 d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularity</td>
<td>Normal or regular (shortest to longest cycle variation: ≤7-9 d)</td>
<td>Irregular (shortest to longest cycle variation: &gt;7-10 d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow volume (patient-determined)</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The normal range (shortest to longest) varies with age: age 18-25 y; age 26-41 y; age 42-45 y; age 46-49 y; age 50-55 y.
impossible, such as in adolescents and virgin girls, transrectal ultrasonography should be offered. This technique has been shown to provide better image quality compared with the transabdominal route without causing significant discomfort to patients.6

Saline infusion sonohysterography (SIS) involves the instillation of 5 to 15 mL of normal saline into the uterine cavity and may allow better detection of endometrial polyps and submucosal fibroids. A 2017 meta-analysis concluded that two-dimensional SIS is highly sensitive for detection of endometrial polyps and submucosal uterine fibroids, with pooled sensitivity values of 93% and 94%, and specificity of 81% and 81%, respectively.7 Clinicians may consider SIS in cases where further evaluation of endometrial lesions is required.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

Magnetic resonance imaging has been shown to be more sensitive than transvaginal ultrasound (TVS) for the identification of fibroids, especially the growth of submucosal fibroids into the uterine cavity.4 Magnetic resonance imaging is slightly more sensitive than TVS for diagnosing adenomyosis (sensitivity: 77% vs 72%).8,9 However, the chance of identifying important additional findings by MRI over ultrasound has to be weighed against the waiting time and cost of MRI. Magnetic resonance imaging should not be the routine for all cases of AUB. In cases where vaginal access is difficult or impossible, or when it is difficult to differentiate between fibroids and adenomyosis, there is a role for MRI.

### Endometrial biopsy

The main purpose of obtaining an endometrial biopsy or endometrial aspirate is to exclude endometrial pathology like hyperplasia, disordered endometrium, or malignancies. Most endometrial biopsies can be performed in out-patient or office clinics and have the advantages of being simple, quick, safe, and convenient and avoiding the need for anaesthesia. Furthermore, the device is disposable, and the procedure is much less costly than conventional D&C.

The Pipelle is the most common out-patient endometrial assessment device used in the United Kingdom and Hong Kong (Fig). Other devices include Novak (a silastic cannula with a beveled lateral opening), Tis-u-Trap (a plastic curette with suction), the Vabra aspirator (a cannula connected to a vacuum pump), Endorette (a plastic cannula with multiple openings), Tao Brush (a sheath brush device), Cytospat (a polypropylene cannula with a rhomboid head), Accurette (a quadrilateral-shaped curette with four cutting edges), Explora (a plastic curette with a Randall-type cutting edge), and Z-sampler (a flexible polypropylene device). A meta-analysis including 60 articles found that Pipelle performs as well as D&C and as well as or better than other endometrial sampling devices in terms of sampling adequacy and sensitivity. Pipelle seems to be better than the other options in terms of pain/discomfort and costs.11 The sample adequacy rate was consistently high for Pipelle, mostly >85%, compared with 98% for D&C.11 Pipelle’s specimen adequacy rate and concordance rate to histology on hysterectomy were similar to those of D&C. Pipelle biopsy is reliable for excluding endometrial carcinoma: previous studies showed that Pipelle detected 98% of endometrial carcinomas.12

The Vabra device can sample a larger proportion of the endometrium (42%) compared with Pipelle (4%).13 However, other studies did not find a better specimen adequacy rate of the Vabra device over Pipelle11,12,16-18 (Table 2).

Endometrial cancer is thought to be uncommon in women aged <40 years, and this matches the reported local experience.19 In 2017, the Hong Kong Cancer Registry report showed that out of a total of 1076 new cases of cancer of the endometrial corpus, only 47 cases (4.4%) occurred in women aged <40 years.19 Although endometrial cancer is uncommon in women aged <40 years, its incidence is increasing. In 2017, the age-specific incidences for endometrial carcinoma in various age-groups were 4, 9, 18, 66, and 64 per 100 000 women at ages 30, 35, 40, 50, and 55 years, respectively, with the incidence peaking at ages 50 to 54 years.19 In 2007, the figures were 2 and 6 per 100 000 women at ages 30 and 35, respectively.

At what age should the gynaecologist perform...

---

**TABLE 2. Sensitivity and specificity of endometrial sampling devices for detecting endometrial carcinoma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pipelle11,12</td>
<td>86%-97.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novak16,17</td>
<td>50%-85%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis-u-Trap17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vabra aspirator17</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tao Brush11</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytospat17</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explora18</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-sampler16</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIG. The Pipelle is the most commonly used endometrial sampling device in Hong Kong**
endometrial biopsy? It had been suggested that routine endometrial biopsy is not necessary for AUB in women aged <40 years. However, in view of the increasing incidence of endometrial cancers among younger women, an endometrial assessment is warranted for women aged <40 years who present with AUB and also have other high-risk features (Table 3). Instead of arbitrarily choosing an age at which endometrial biopsy should or should not be done, the woman's risk of endometrial carcinoma should be assessed. When they present with AUB, women at high risk of endometrial cancer need endometrial biopsy regardless of age. Therefore, Hong Kong College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists recommends endometrial biopsy in all women with AUB aged ≥40 years and in women with risk factors for endometrial carcinoma irrespective of age. Patients with persistent symptoms or in whom medical treatments have failed should also undergo endometrial biopsy.

Hysteroscopy

Hysteroscopy allows direct visualisation of the whole endometrial cavity, lower segment, and cervical canal. Hysteroscopy can detect small polyps or submucosal fibroids and provide an opportunity for endometrial biopsy without the need for general anaesthesia. The NICE guideline recommends out-patient hysteroscopy for women with uterine cavity abnormalities or when endometrial pathology is suspected because it is more accurate than pelvic ultrasound.4 A Hong Kong study showed that out-patient hysteroscopy was successful in 92% of patients.20 Prospective studies have shown that diagnostic hysteroscopy had significantly better diagnostic performance than SIS and TVS.16 The sensitivity and specificity for any uterine abnormality of SIS and TVS were 92% and 89% versus 60% and 56%, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity for diagnostic hysteroscopy were 97% and 92%, respectively.21 The patients’ acceptability was high, and the failure rate was low, with failure mainly occurring due to pain during the procedure, distorted uterine cavity, and tight cervical os, especially in postmenopausal and nulliparous patients. The last problem can be partially overcome by using a hysteroscope of smaller diameter (minihysteroscopy). A ‘no touch’ approach with vaginoscopy has been shown to be quicker, less painful, and more successful than standard hysteroscopy and can be considered for out-patient hysterectomy.22

A randomised controlled trial23 comparing TVS, out-patient hysteroscopy, and endometrial biopsy with in-patient hysteroscopy and D&C showed that a combination of transvaginal scan, Pipelle endometrial biopsy, and out-patient hysterectomy had similar efficacy to in-patient hysterectomy and D&C for the investigation of AUB. Transvaginal scan and endometrial biopsy can therefore be considered as the first-line investigation, followed by out-patient hysterectomy.24

Some authors have suggested that a normal cavity on hysteroscopy obviates the need for an endometrial biopsy. However, normal hysteroscopy findings are not conclusive of the absence of premalignant or malignant lesions and do not eliminate the need for endometrial sampling, as they do not substitute for benign histological examination findings.25

Dilatation and curettage

Dilatation and curettage, and the endometrial histology obtained by that method, were previously considered as the ‘gold standard’ in AUB management. However, multiple studies showed that D&C is not superior to endometrial assessment with Pipelle or other out-patient endometrial assessment devices, and D&C requires general anaesthesia.11 Dilation and curettage only should no longer be the gold standard in endometrial pathological assessment, but D&C with concurrent hysteroscopy may be useful when intrauterine lesions are suspected, as it allows direct visual assessment of the endometrial cavity. For patients in whom out-patient hysteroscopy or endometrial biopsy is not possible, in-patient hysterectomy and D&C under general anaesthesia should be offered, but D&C does not have therapeutic value in AUB except for temporarily stopping heavy menstrual bleeding.

Summary of recommendations

1. The chance of endometrial carcinoma in women aged <40 years is low. However, endometrial assessment is warranted if there are risk factors for endometrial carcinoma, if symptoms are persistent/long-standing, or symptoms fail to respond to medical treatment (Grade B).

2. Pelvic ultrasound (preferably TVS) and

### TABLE 3. Risk factors for endometrial cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obesity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polycystic ovarian syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of gynaecological and gastrointestinal malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unopposed oestrogen therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamoxifen therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent or long-standing abnormal uterine bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response to medical treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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endometrial sampling with Pipelle are the preferred first-line methods of assessing AUB. Hysteroscopy is indicated if uterine cavity abnormalities are suspected (Grade B).

3. Out-patient hysteroscopy is safe and reliable and should be the preferred setting for diagnostic hysteroscopy (Grade A).

4. Routine first-line D&C should be discouraged. Dilation and curettage should be reserved for women requiring general anaesthesia for other indications (Grade A).

A summary of the recommendations are shown in the online supplementary Appendix 2.
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