A 69-year-old man with long-standing hypertension and history of pulmonary embolism on warfarin presented to Accident and Emergency Department with sudden upper back pain and transient loss of consciousness. On admission, the patient was in haemodynamic shock with blood pressure 83/57 mm Hg and pulse 42 beats per minute. Cardiovascular examination revealed radial-radial and radial-femoral delay. Electrocardiogram showed complete heart block and chest radiograph demonstrated a widened mediastium. An immediate bedside echocardiogram found an intimal flap in the aortic root with evidence of aortic regurgitation and a thin rim of pericardial effusion.

Fluid resuscitation and inotropic support was given and urgent computed tomography (CT) aortogram was arranged to further delineate the extent of involvement of aortic dissection. However, the patient developed sudden cardiac arrest immediately after being given 80 mL intravenous Omnipaq at a rate of 3 mL/s via injector during CT aortogram. A review of CT images showed Stanford type A aortic dissection with an intimal flap extending into the pericardial sac (Fig 1). No obvious pericardial effusion was visible on the pre-contrast CT images (Fig 2a). However, significant contrast was seen in the pericardial sac on post-contrast CT images (Fig 2b), indicating a sudden rupture with haemopericardium had occurred during the CT scan. Despite prompt bedside pericardiocentesis and cardiopulmonary resuscitation, the patient died.

Aortic dissection is the most common acute emergency condition of the aorta. The mortality rate is high, and rupture is the cause of death in approximately one-third of affected patients. The pathology is due to a tear in the intimal layer allowing blood to propagate into the media and create a false lumen. In the ascending thoracic aorta, the primary tear is most often within 3 cm of the aortic cusps. The false lumen of aorta may rupture due to loss of elastic recoil and increased wall stress with dilatation. Often, the rupture site is close to the initial intimal-medial tear over the right lateral wall where it receives the ejected blood from the left ventricle. This ends up into the pericardial sac causing haemopericardium and subsequent fatal cardiac tamponade.

Computed tomography aortogram is the first-line modality in the diagnosis of aortic dissection, delineation of its extent of involvement and end-organ ischaemia. In our case, rupture of the aorta into the pericardial sac was evidenced by significant contrast-enhanced haemopericardium on CT aortogram images which was absent in pre-contrast CT images. This could be related to the rapid injection of a large volume bolus of intravenous contrast by power-injector, resulting in a sudden elevation of left ventricular pressure, supported by...
previous study in human subjects demonstrating a significant increase in blood pressure after bolus injection of low osmolarity, non-ionic contrast agent.3

The treatment of type A aortic dissection with rupture is immediate surgical repair.4,5 Although it was previously considered controversial to perform pericardiocentesis as there is a risk of worsening the leak, recent evidence suggests that controlled pericardiocentesis may reduce haemodynamic instability in critical cardiac tamponade to allow sufficient time for urgent operative repair.5
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