Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors: know the patient and the drugs
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Timely intensification of glucose-lowering drugs in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is essential to improve durability of glycaemic control and prevent diabetes-related complications.1,2 Progressive beta-cell failure is a hallmark in T2DM, especially in Asians in whom pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance frequently coexist.3,4 In the Hong Kong Diabetes Register, 50% of patients with T2DM were treated with insulin after 10 years of disease.5 Despite a growing portfolio of glucose-lowering drugs in the last decade,6 only one third of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) or T2DM achieved personalised glycaemic goals.7 Although increasing insulin dosages may improve glycaemic control, overzealous use of insulin can increase the risk of hypoglycaemia and weight gain.8

Weight gain leads not only to higher insulin dosages but also to increased blood pressure, which is a major cardiovascular risk factor and attenuates the benefits of glucose lowering.9

Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors reduce blood glucose by inhibiting glucose reabsorption in the early proximal renal tubule and promote glucosuria. While the calorie loss can lead to weight reduction, the coupling of sodium and glucose transporters also leads to natriuresis which contribute to lowering blood pressure.3,9,10 Given its beneficial effects on multiple cardiovascular risk factors, there is a strong rationale for using this class of medications as an insulin-sparing agent.2,11

In this issue of *Hong Kong Medical Journal*, Tan et al12 provide practical guidance to help physicians recognise, monitor, and treat patients with SGLT2 inhibitors, in combination with insulin therapy. Compared with placebo, the addition of SGLT2 inhibitor to insulin therapy in patients with T1DM and T2DM reduced haemoglobin A1c by 0.4% to 0.7%, body weight by 0.2 to 3 kg, and total daily insulin dose by 0.2 to 13 units.12 Possible reasons for the low reported risk of hypoglycaemia with this combination therapy include: a compensatory increase in SGLT1-mediated glucose reabsorption in the distal part of proximal renal tubule; the upregulation of counterregulatory mechanisms including increase in glucagon and hepatic gluconeogenesis; and reduced glycaemic variability.9,12,13

In patients with T2DM with cardiovascular-renal complications and/or multiple risk factors, data from randomised controlled trials have confirmed the benefits of SGLT2 inhibitors in reducing major adverse cardiovascular events, hospitalisation for heart failure, all-cause death, and worsening renal function including end-stage renal disease over a median follow-up period of between 2.6 and 4 years.14-17 In addition to lowering blood glucose, blood pressure, and body weight, SGLT2 inhibitors may also increase blood haemoglobin with increased tissue oxygenation and decrease uric acid, a known cardiovascular risk factor.18

Another mechanism that may explain the cardiovascular-renal benefits of SGLT2 inhibitors is a metabolic switch, in part due to increase in glucagon, from glucose to free fatty acid oxidation with increased formation of ketone bodies as a more efficient energy source.10 In non-stressed situation, use of SGLT2 inhibitors can be associated with physiological ketosis but without acidosis. However, in the presence of metabolic stress such as surgical procedures and critical illnesses, especially in patients who are lean and those with reduced beta-cell reserves due to long disease duration as well as those who take ketogenic diet for weight reduction, overt/euglycaemic DKA may occur.19

In order to minimise the risk of hypoglycaemia, Tan et al12 suggest down-titration of total daily insulin dose by 10% to 20%. Depending on the general state of the patients, treatment modifications should be individualised with reinforcement of sick-day management including increased frequency of monitoring of blood glucose and blood/urine ketone.11 Adequate communication between patients and physicians is particularly important during the perioperative or periprocedural periods where close adherence to treatment recommendations including temporary withdrawal of SGLT2 inhibitors is necessary. During these periods of major stress, increased release of counterregulatory hormones coupled with reduced beta-cell release, against
a background of increased glucagon release, can markedly increase the risk of overt/euglycaemic DKA in patients treated with SGLT2 inhibitors. Ensuring adequate hydration, avoiding low carbohydrate diet, and ensuring adequate coverage of insulin are needed to avoid metabolic decompensation.11,12

Despite the high relative risk, the absolute incidence of urogenital infections associated with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors is low and usually well tolerated and self-limiting, at least in randomised controlled trial settings.13 However, the potential link between the use of SGLT2 inhibitors and Fournier gangrene, a progressive bacterial necrotising fasciitis of the perianal, perineal, and/or external genital areas is concerning.19 Despite its rare occurrence affecting less than 0.02% of hospitalisations in the US, these events are extremely devastating and distressing to patients and can be potentially fatal.19 In real-world settings where care is less well supervised, poor glycaemic control may persist even with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors, especially in patients with poor insulin reserve but not adequately replaced. Indeed, in patients who developed Fournier gangrene, as many as 70% had poor glycaemic control and/or obesity.19 In these patients, the glucosuric milieu induced by SGLT2 inhibitors in these anatomical sites with rich bacterial flora may increase the risk of Fournier gangrene.19,20

Based on data from the US Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS), 55 patients who were treated with SGLT2 inhibitors developed Fournier gangrene during a 6-year period, compared with 19 patients treated with other glucose-lowering drugs.20 Physicians must emphasise the importance of good personal hygiene when using SGLT2 inhibitors, especially in those with poor glycaemic control.3,11 A high index of suspicion for the condition is necessary if patients complain of local pain disproportionate to findings on physical examination, especially in those with risk factors such as long-term glucocorticoid therapy, immunocompromised state, and chronic alcoholism.19,20 If diagnosed early, Fournier gangrene is treatable with withdrawal of SGLT2 inhibitors, fluid resuscitation, immediate broad-spectrum antibiotics, and urgent surgical debridement.19

Another safety concern associated with the use of SGLTs is lower extremity amputation (LEA).15,17,21 Using pharmacovigilance data from the US FAERS, canagliflozin, with or without concomitant insulin therapy, was associated with excess risk of LEA; no similar association was recorded for dapagliflozin or empagliflozin.21 In the Swedish and Norwegian national health registers, the relative risk of LEA increased by 2 times with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors compared with glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists, irrespective of history of cardiovascular disease or amputation, although the overall event rate was low (2.7 vs 1.1 events per 1000 person-years).23 More studies are needed to clarify whether the risk of LEA is a class effect or drug-specific, as well as to reveal the underlying mechanisms, clinical profiles of patients, and settings of these clinical events. Examination of lower extremity including foot pulses is particularly important, especially in those with multiple risk factors, history of foot ulcers, and/or dehydrated (eg, high-dose diuretics) in whom SGLT2 inhibitors should be used with caution or avoided altogether.

In day-to-day practice, the key questions for patients and physicians are when and how to safely initiate SGLT2 inhibitors as additive to insulin therapy. The clinical perspectives by Tan et al12 contextualises the patient profiles and provides practical tips to avoid adverse events. The large body of evidence supports the importance of periodic assessment of risk factors and complications and use of personalised data to stratify risk, educate/empower patients, and promote good patient-doctor communication to maximise benefits and minimise harms of SGLT2 inhibitors in the prevention of morbidities, hospitalisations, and premature death related to T2DM.24
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