

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

This appendix formed part of the original submission and has been peer reviewed. We post it as supplied by the authors.

Supplement to:

X Li, Swathi Pathadka, Kenneth K Man, Ian CK Wong, Esther WY Chan. Budget impact of introducing tofacitinib to the public hospital formulary in Hong Kong, 2017-2021. Hong Kong Med J 2019;25:Epub 29 May 2019. http://doi.org/10.12809/hkmj187673

**Appendix 1.** Projected number of patients, 2017-2022 (page 1)

Appendix 2. Summary of base-case scenario assumptions (page 1)

**Appendix 3.** Projected market share in the base-case scenario (a) without tofacitinib and (b) with tofacitinib, 2017-2021 (page 2-3)



## APPENDIX I. Projected number of patients, 2017-2022

Abbreviation: DMARDs = disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs

## **APPENDIX 2.** Summary of base-case scenario assumptions

#### **Clinical assumptions**

- 1. Remission and treatment failure were disregarded.
- 2. Identical efficacy and safety profiles were maintained for all treatments.
- 3. The 1-year retention rate was 80% for tofacitinib, whereas it was 100% for all biologic treatments.
- 4. Patients who discontinued tofacitinib would switch to a biologic treatment, on the basis of its market share.
- 5. All treatments had 100% compliance.

### **Economic assumptions**

- 1. Treatment monitoring costs (eg, laboratory tests, rheumatology visits, X-rays, and cardiology and pulmonology monitoring assays) were not considered.
- 2. Indirect costs were not considered.
- 3. Costs of conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs were not considered, as these comprise general drugs in the formulary.
- 4. Medication costs were identical throughout the 5-year period.
- 5. No cost inflation was considered.

Li et al



# APPENDIX 3. Projected market share in the base-case scenario (a) without tofacitinib and (b) with tofacitinib, 2017-2021

## APPENDIX 3. (cont'd)

