Efficacy and safety of perioperative tranexamic acid in elderly patients undergoing trochanteric fracture surgery: a randomised controlled trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Trochanteric fractures result in a high frequency of considerable blood loss, a high incidence of blood transfusions, and a high risk of perioperative morbidity and mortality in elderly patients. This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a three-dose regimen of tranexamic acid on blood loss and transfusion rate in elderly patients with trochanteric fractures.

Methods: Eligible patients with trochanteric fractures surgically treated by dynamic hip screw and proximal anti-rotating intramedullary nail between March 2016 and October 2017 were enrolled in the study. Patients were randomly assigned to receive 15 mg/kg intravenous tranexamic acid dissolved in 100 mL of saline (TXA group) or 100 mL of saline solution (placebo group) over 10 minutes before, during, and after surgery. Perioperative blood loss, obvious blood loss, and hidden blood loss in the two groups were calculated separately. Vascular events and patient mortality over 6 months’ follow-up were noted.

Results: In total, 176 patients were included. Compared with the placebo group (n=88), patients in the TXA group (n=88) had less blood loss: perioperative blood loss was 205.5 mL (P<0.001), obvious blood loss was 125 mL (P<0.001), and hidden blood loss was 76.5 mL (P<0.001); reduced incidence of blood transfusion (17% vs 35%, P=0.007); and shorter hospital stays (median [interquartile range], 7 [6-8] vs 8.5 [7.5-9] days, P<0.001).

Conclusion: Tranexamic acid significantly lowered perioperative blood loss and blood transfusion rate without an increased risk of venous thromboembolism or mortality in elderly patients with trochanteric fractures treated with dynamic hip screw or proximal anti-rotating intramedullary nail.

New knowledge added by this study
- A three-dose regimen of tranexamic acid in elderly patients with trochanteric fractures treated with dynamic hip screw or proximal anti-rotating intramedullary nail significantly reduced perioperative blood loss and the transfusion rate.
- The three-dose regimen of tranexamic acid did not increase the risk of venous thromboembolism or mortality in patients.

Implications for clinical practice or policy
- This study will help surgeons to reduce blood loss and transfusion during surgery in patients with trochanteric fractures.

Introduction
The increasing number of elderly people with osteoporosis is bringing about an increased incidence of trochanteric fractures, which include intertrochanteric fractures and subtrochanteric fractures. This common type of fractures frequently results in considerable blood loss,1 which exposes patients to postoperative anaemia and reduced functional recovery.2 Further, large amounts of blood loss usually result in blood transfusion and a high risk of perioperative morbidity and mortality.3 Blood transfusion increases the incidence of adverse reactions related to allogeneic blood transfusion, such as infectious diseases, haemolytic reaction, cardiovascular dysfunction, postoperative infection,4-7 and elevated hospitalisation costs.8,9 Postoperative mortality rates have been reported as high as 10% at 30 days and 30% at 1 year.10 Therefore, reducing perioperative blood loss concomitant to trochanteric fractures in elderly patients would help to decrease the rate of complications and improve surgical outcomes.

Tranexamic acid (TXA), a synthetic derivative of the amino acid lysine, is an antifibrinolytic drug that competitively blocks the plasminogen-binding site, inhibits plasminogen activation, and interferes...
with fibrinolysis. Currently, TXA is widely used in clinical surgery. Numerous studies have indicated that intravenous TXA reduces blood loss and transfusion rates without increasing thrombotic events in joint arthroplasty. Similar results have been found in surgical patients undergoing treatment for trauma, including decreased mortality due to haemorrhage. However, studies have also shown that TXA, as compared with placebo, not only offers no significant benefit regarding transfusion rate, estimated blood loss, and incidence of deep venous thrombosis in patients undergoing open reduction and internal fixation with acetalab fracture, but also increases the formation of deep vein thrombosis after hip fracture in elderly patients. Thus, the efficacy and safety of TXA in the perioperative period of hip fracture in elderly patients remain controversial.

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of TXA administration in elderly patients undergoing surgery for trochanteric fracture. Specifically, we aimed to evaluate whether a three-dose regimen of TXA decreases perioperative blood loss and the incidence of allogenic blood transfusion without increasing the risk of venous thromboembolism and mortality.

Methods

Patients and methods

To clarify the effects of TXA in surgical treatment of trochanteric fractures in elderly patients, a placebo-controlled double-blind randomised clinical trial was performed in our hospital in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

Elderly patients with trochanteric fractures who were treated with dynamic hip screw (DHS) and proximal anti-rotating intramedullary nail (PFNA) in our hospital between March 2016 and October 2017 were included in this study. Patients eligible for inclusion had American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) scores of II (mild systemic disease that results in no functional limitation) or III (serious systemic disease that results in functional impairment), were aged ≥65 years, and were treated with either DHS or PFNA within 48 hours after injury. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) allergy to TXA or low-molecular-weight heparin; (2) severe dysfunction of heart, lung, liver, kidney, or coagulation; (3) provoked deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism within 30 days or myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accident, or stent placement within 6 months; (4) anticoagulant therapy such as antiplatelet drugs or warfarin before surgery; (5) multiple fractures; and (6) blood transfusion before surgery.

All patients underwent preoperative medical optimisation by a hospitalist medicine team. All patients received spinal or general anaesthesia without regional blockade or local injection. Patients were assigned at random to receive TXA treatment (TXA group) or placebo control (placebo group). Patients in the TXA group received three doses of TXA during the surgery, just before incision, the second continuously pumped for 3 hours after surgery (three-dose regimen). In the placebo group, 100 mL of saline solution was administered at 3 hours after surgery (three-dose regimen).

Perioperative transfusion was based on the Chinese "Measures for the management of clinical blood use in medical institutions" guideline. Intra-operative allogenic blood transfusion was administered for all patients who had Hb levels <7.0 g/dL and those with Hb levels <10 g/dL also suspected to have myocardial ischaemia or haemorrhagic
shock. Postoperative blood transfusion was based on arterial blood gas analysis and routine blood examination. When a patient receives a blood transfusion, an arterial blood gas analysis should be performed after each infusion of 1 unit of red blood cells to reassess whether to continue the transfusion.

All patients received deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis including sequential compression devices throughout hospitalisation and prophylactic low-molecular-weight heparin for 30 days after surgery beginning on postoperative day (POD) 1 unless therapeutic anticoagulation was contraindicated because of pre-existing co-morbidities or postoperative complications.

**Sample size**

The perioperative transfusion rate of trochanteric fractures was 34% at our institution. Assuming that a similar rate of transfusion would be observed in the control subjects, our sample size was calculated to be able to detect a difference of 34% with respect to 10% (a risk reduction of approximately 1/3 of the baseline rate) between the TXA and placebo groups. We then calculated that a sample of 88 participants per study group would provide 90% power to detect such a difference (α = 0.05, two-sided test).

**Randomisation and blinding**

Participants were randomised into either the TXA group or the placebo group using a computerised dynamic allocation programme and stratification according to sex (male or female), age (<75 or ≥75 years), and type of surgery (DHS or PFNA) by means of a central telephone system with a computer-generated randomisation list to ensure that subject allocation remained balanced throughout the entire subject accrual phase. All operations were performed by the same orthopaedic surgeons, who determined the type of surgery. All investigational drugs were administered by a nurse during preoperative preparation and then delivered to the operating room in packaging simply labelled as “study drug.” To ensure that subjects, physicians, and data collectors were blinded, the patient caregivers, investigators collecting the data, safety monitoring board, and members of the adjudication committee remained unaware of the study group assignments.

**Outcomes**

Patient characteristics including sex, age, body mass index, ASA score, preoperative Hb concentration, proportions of preoperative hypertension and diabetes, surgery type, time from injury to surgery, surgical duration, and length of hospital stay were recorded.

All patient outcomes were assessed by the independent adjudication committee. The primary outcomes included perioperative blood loss and proportion of patients receiving blood transfusion from the beginning of surgery to discharge. Secondary outcomes including obvious blood loss; hidden blood loss; postoperative Hb concentration of POD 1, POD 2, and POD 3; number of units of transfusion during hospitalisation; and incidence of adverse events at 6-month follow-up (including thromboembolic events, wound complications, and mortality) were identified. Investigation for thromboembolic events, defined as symptomatic deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction, and cerebrovascular accident diagnosed by duplex ultrasound, was only performed in patients with acute symptoms. Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism was performed using contrast chest computed tomography. Myocardial infarction was diagnosed using electrocardiography and cardiac enzymes. Confirmation of cerebrovascular accident was done by brain computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. Wound complications were defined to include haematoma and deep or superficial infection. The patients’ medical history was asked before surgery. If the patient had any symptoms associated with thromboembolic events, tests were given to him/her.

**Calculation methods**

Patient blood volume was calculated using the formula of Nadler et al.,21 as follows: blood volume = (k1 × height1 [m]) + (k2 × weight [kg]) + k3, where k1 = 0.3669, k2 = 0.03219, and k3 = 0.6041 for men and k1 = 0.3561, k2 = 0.03308, and k3 = 0.1833 for women.

The Gross equation was used to calculate the total red blood cell volume loss,22 as follows: total red blood cell volume loss = patient blood volume × (preoperative haematocrit – postoperative haematocrit), where preoperative haematocrit is the haematocrit on the morning of the day of surgery, and postoperative haematocrit is the haematocrit on POD 2. Haematocrit was chosen for investigation because it is directly correlated with blood volume.

Theoretical blood loss refers to the total red blood cell volume loss / preoperative haematocrit. Perioperative blood loss refers to hidden blood loss + obvious blood loss (surgical blood loss + postoperative drainage), or theoretical blood loss + blood transfusion volume. Hidden blood loss refers to the amount of theoretical blood loss and blood transfusion volume, minus obvious blood loss.

**Statistical methods**

Data were analysed using SPSS (Windows version 24.0; IBM Corp, Armonk [NY], United States). Descriptive data assumed to follow normal distributions were expressed as mean ± standard error.
deviation, and comparisons of descriptive data for completely random distributions were conducted with two independent-samples t tests. The measurement data of skewed distributions were represented by median (interquartile range; IQR) and compared with non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum tests between two independent samples. Categorical data were checked by Chi squared tests. Baseline covariates were evaluated to ensure consistency between groups. All statistical tests were two-sided, and the threshold of statistical significance was set at α = 0.05.

Results

A total of 176 patients were included in this study (88 patients in each group). All patients were followed up for 6 months. There were no statistically significant differences between the TXA and placebo groups in terms of patients’ sex, age, body mass index, ASA scores, proportion of preoperative hypertension and diabetes, or preoperative Hb concentration. The TXA group had shorter median (IQR) hospital stays than the placebo group (7 [6-8] vs 8.5 [7.5-9] days; P<0.001). Furthermore, a similar number of patients underwent DHS and PFNA in each group, and no differences were detected in terms of time to surgery or operating time between the two groups (Table 1).

The postoperative Hb levels at POD 1, POD 2, and POD 3 were higher in the TXA group than in the placebo group, there were statistically significant differences on POD 1 (10.9 vs 10.3 g/dL, P=0.004) and POD 2 (9.8 vs 9.3 g/dL, P=0.028), but no statistically significant differences on POD 3 (9.5 vs 9.1 g/dL, P=0.057) [Table 2].

Mean perioperative blood loss in the TXA group was 205.5 mL lower than that in the placebo group (411 vs 616.5 mL, P<0.01). Obvious blood loss was 125 mL lower in the TXA group than in the placebo group (142 vs 267 mL, P<0.01), and hidden blood loss was 76.5 mL lower in the TXA group than in the placebo group (266 vs 342.5 mL, P<0.01) [Table 2].

Fewer patients in the TXA group than the placebo group received allogenic blood transfusions (17% vs 35%, P=0.007). Furthermore, patients with TXA tended to require less total blood product (median 1.5 units packed red blood cells/patient; IQR, 1-2 units) than those in the placebo group did (median 2.5 units packed red blood cells/patient; IQR, 1.5-3.5 units; P<0.01) [Table 2].

After surgery, three patients were lost to follow-up in the TXA group and two were lost to follow-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Baseline demographics and characteristics of the two patient groups*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TXA group (n=88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body mass index (kg/m²)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASA score</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension ratio</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes ratio</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preoperative Hb (g/dL)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time from injury to surgery (hours)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of surgery</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating time (minutes)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospital stay (days)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; DHS = dynamic hip screw; Hb = haemoglobin; PFNA = proximal antirotating intramedullary nail; TXA = tranexamic acid

* Data are shown as No. (%) of patients, mean ± standard deviation, or median (interquartile range)
† Chi squared test
‡ Independent-samples t test
§ Wilcoxon test
up in the placebo group. In the TXA group, five (5.9%) patients had wound complications, including three (3.5%) with haematoma and two (2.4%) with infection. The incidence of thromboembolic events was 16.5% in the TXA group, including 10 (11.8%) patients with deep venous thrombosis and two (2.4%) each with pulmonary embolism and cerebrovascular accident. Five patients died: the mortality rate in the TXA group was 5.9%. In the placebo group, wound complications occurred in eight (9.3%) cases, including five (5.8%) with haematoma and three (3.5%) with infection. We observed thromboembolic events in 12 (14.0%) cases, including 11 (12.8%) cases with deep venous thrombosis and one (1.2%) with pulmonary embolism. Three patients died: the mortality rate in the placebo group was 3.5%. There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in wound complications, thromboembolic events, or death [Table 3].

**Discussion**

Trochanteric fractures caused by osteoporosis have become common. Trochanteric fractures account for a large number of hospital days, much blood loss, and high mortality. With a mortality rate of up to 30% in the year after injury, these patients are among the most frail that orthopaedic surgeons treat. Although TXA is known to be an effective and safe agent for reducing surgical blood loss, with improved perioperative care for patients undergoing hip arthroplasty, there are limited data regarding its use in trochanteric fracture surgery. Therefore, in the present study, we sought to determine whether intravenous TXA administration would improve perioperative blood management without increasing levels of adverse complications.

The mean rate of transfusion (26.1%) and mean estimated blood loss (567 mL) in the current study are similar to those in previous reports about surgical treatment of trochanteric fractures (DHS or PFNA). Older patients have lower preoperative Hb values, and older age and intramedullary nail osteosynthesis both increase the risk of erythrocyte transfusion. This study design accounted for this major confounder through stratification of randomisation by age.

The dosage and timing of TXA administration in our study were selected in accordance with Maniar et al, which indicated that the three-dose regimen produces maximum effective reduction of drainage loss and total blood loss. The present findings suggest that the three-dose regimen could significantly reduce blood loss and decrease the rate of transfusion without increasing the risk of the postoperative complications of thromboembolic events and mortality. We will further study the effects of different TXA administration regimens on perioperative blood loss and blood transfusion rate in elderly patients with hip fracture.

Blood conservation is particularly important in patients with trochanteric fracture to prevent complications related to acute postoperative anaemia. The three-dose regimen of TXA has been reported to decrease blood loss in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery. In the present study, a significant reduction in the incidence of transfusion (17% vs 35%), perioperative blood loss, obvious blood loss, and hidden blood loss were found (Table 2) with TXA administration in trochanteric fracture surgery. These results are consistent with those of previous studies. Gausden et al and Schiavone et al reported significant reductions in transfusion rates

**TABLE 2. Comparison between the two patient groups in terms of postoperative Hb, blood loss, packed RBC transfusion, and units of packed RBC transferred per patient**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TXA group (n=88)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n=88)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative Hb (g/dL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 1</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.53</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.004‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 2</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.57</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.028‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 3</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.52</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.057‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>411 ± 108.8</td>
<td>616.5 ± 106.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obvious blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>142 ± 60.5</td>
<td>267 ± 50.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidden blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>266 ± 56.5</td>
<td>342.5 ± 81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed RBC transfusion</td>
<td>15 (17%)</td>
<td>31 (35%)</td>
<td>0.007†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of units of packed RBCs per patient transfused</td>
<td>1.5 (1-2)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.5-3.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Hb = haemoglobin; POD = postoperative day; RBC = red blood cell; TXA = tranexamic acid
* Data are shown as No. (%) of patients, median (interquartile range), or mean ± standard deviation
† Chi squared test
‡ Independent-samples t test
§ Wilcoxon test

**TABLE 3. Postoperative follow-up outcomes of the two patient groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>TXA group (n=88)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n=88)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wound complications</td>
<td>5 (5.9%)</td>
<td>8 (9.3%)</td>
<td>0.399*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematoma</td>
<td>3 (3.5%)</td>
<td>5 (5.8%)</td>
<td>0.730†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
<td>3 (3.5%)</td>
<td>1.000‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolic events</td>
<td>14 (16.5%)</td>
<td>12 (14.0%)</td>
<td>0.647*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep vein thrombosis</td>
<td>10 (11.8%)</td>
<td>11 (12.8%)</td>
<td>0.838*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
<td>1 (1.2%)</td>
<td>0.992‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular accident</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.246‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>5 (5.9%)</td>
<td>3 (3.5%)</td>
<td>0.705†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: TXA = tranexamic acid
* Chi squared test
† Chi squared test with continuity correction
‡ Fisher’s exact test
with TXA use versus placebo. In the TXA in Hip Fracture Surgery study, Zufferey et al.31 also reported the same trend with a 30% relative reduction in transfusion rates with TXA administration. Thus, our findings suggest that TXA has clear benefits in DHS or PFNA of trochanteric fractures.

Despite the wide use of TXA, there has been concern regarding its association with increased incidence of venous thrombosis and mortality.32 Zufferey et al.31 and Schiavone et al.36 reported a three-fold increase in vascular events (deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, cerebrovascular accident, and myocardial infarction) with intravenous TXA administration in hip fracture surgery, but this was not statistically significant. A number of meta-analyses have found no increase in thromboembolic complications but were unable to draw conclusions regarding the safety of TXA because of potential bias.26,27 A recent population-based study conducted by Poeran et al.37 involving 872 416 patients showed no increase in thromboembolic events. In our study, no statistically significant differences were found between the TXA and placebo groups for incidence of wound complications, thromboembolic events, or mortality after 6 months’ follow-up (Table 3). Our results were in accordance with the systematic reviews of Farrow et al.38 and Zhang et al.33 which indicated that TXA did not increase the risk of wound complications, thromboembolic events, or mortality.

In our study, the length of hospital stay in the TXA group was less than that in the placebo group. The reasons may be that, first, TXA administration can reduce perioperative blood loss and then prevent postoperative anaemia in patients; second, TXA may decrease the risk associated with transfusion by reducing the rate of transfusion. Minimising blood loss and red blood cell transfusion can enable early activity, enhance patient rehabilitation, and facilitate early hospital discharge.39

This study had some limitations. Although this was a randomised controlled trial, the surgical procedure was decided by the surgeon, which may have affected the outcome. In addition, the proper usage method of TXA is still unclear. Furthermore, the optimal dosing and timing of TXA administration is still controversial. Further study with a larger sample and a multicentre trial would be helpful to verify the present results.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study suggest that TXA can reduce perioperative blood loss and decrease the risk associated with transfusion by reducing the rate of transfusion without increasing the incidence of complications of thromboembolic events or mortality in patients with trochanteric fractures. Thus, off-label use of TXA can be recommended for trochanteric fracture surgery. The blood conservational effects of TXA are well established and appear to be safe and effective. In the future, we will conduct a study to clarify the reasonable dosage and timing of TXA in patients with different types of hip fractures.
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