

# Pedometry and 'peer support' in older Chinese adults: a 12-month cluster randomised controlled trial

GN Thomas \*, DJ Macfarlane, B Guo, BMY Cheung, SM McGhee, KL Chou, JJ Deeks, TH Lam, B Tomlinson

## KEY MESSAGES

1. Use of pedometers and motivation from friends significantly increased physical activity and fitness levels in older subjects.
2. Peer support interventions significantly improved psychosocial parameters, but had minimal effects on vascular risk factors.
3. Further work is needed to find alternative or additional means to modify the behaviour of approximately 50% of subjects who did not increase their physical activity levels.

Hong Kong Med J 2014;20(Suppl 3):S11-4

HSRF project number: 01030681

<sup>1</sup> GN Thomas \*, <sup>2</sup> DJ Macfarlane, <sup>1</sup> B Guo, <sup>3</sup> BMY Cheung, <sup>4</sup> SM McGhee, <sup>5</sup> KL Chou, <sup>1</sup> JJ Deeks, <sup>4</sup> TH Lam, <sup>6</sup> B Tomlinson

<sup>1</sup> Public Health, Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Human Performance, The University of Hong Kong

<sup>3</sup> Departments of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong

<sup>4</sup> Department of Community Medicine, The University of Hong Kong

<sup>5</sup> Sau Po Centre on Ageing, The University of Hong Kong

<sup>6</sup> Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Chinese University of Hong Kong

\* Principal applicant and corresponding author: gneilthomas@yahoo.co.uk

## Abstract

There is a need to increase physical activity to attenuate age-related morbidity. This 12-month factorial design cluster trial randomised 399 volunteers from 24 centres to buddy peer support, pedometry, or control group. Data were analysed using last-observation carried-forward and intention-to-treat methods. Compared to the controls, participants in the pedometry group increased their levels of physical activity energy expenditure significantly, as did those in the buddy group. As recorded by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire [IPAQ], the respective increases amounted to 1820 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1360-2290) and 1260 (95% CI, 780-1746) metabolic equivalent of task (MET).min.wk<sup>-1</sup>. The buddy group also had significantly improved aerobic fitness after adjustment for body weight (12%; 95% CI, 4-21%), but this did not attain significance in the pedometry group (7%; 95% CI, -1 to 15%). Our results suggest that recourse to pedometers and the buddy peer support system is simple means of increasing physical activity in older subjects.

## Introduction

Population ageing is associated with a high burden of physical and mental problems, which have major social and economic consequences. Epidemiological and clinical intervention studies have shown the benefits of exercise on health.<sup>1-4</sup> However, high levels of inactivity were noted in Hong Kong (over 30-40% in the older population).<sup>3,5-7</sup> In a population-based

study in 1995, 25% of elderly (aged 65-74 years) Hong Kong subjects had type-2 diabetes, 53% had hypertension, and 64% had dyslipidaemia.<sup>5,6</sup> Physical activity programmes that improve weight loss and related metabolic parameters have shown benefits in terms of clinically relevant surrogate markers of health.<sup>1,8-11</sup>

Population-based exercise intervention studies should be simple, cheap, and of low maintenance.<sup>1</sup> Formation of small peer support groups and provision of pedometers as motivational tools are two examples. This report provides a summary of a study assessing the usefulness of these interventions in improving physical activity and fitness in an older Chinese population.

## Methods

This 12-month cluster randomised controlled study aimed to evaluate the effects of providing a pedometer, and participation in a 'buddy-style' peer support programme on physical fitness and activity and cardiovascular risk factors (anthropometry and blood pressure). The main outcome measures were changes in physical activity measured by the IPAQ<sup>12</sup> and fitness levels. It conformed with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the University of Hong Kong's Ethics Committee. All participants were given opportunities to ask questions regarding the study and gave written informed consent. The study was registered with the University of Hong Kong Clinical Trials Register.

The IPAQ had been validated in the local

population.<sup>12,13</sup> Anthropometric, socioeconomic, lifestyle details, personal and family medical histories were recorded. A submaximal Astrand cycle exercise test was used to assess aerobic fitness in a subgroup of 226 (56.6%) capable subjects. A number of standard tests were performed to assess physical ability. A get-up-and-go test (time taken for the subject to get up from a chair walk 2.5 m, round a marker and return) and a 30-second chair stand test (number of times a person can stand and sit in 30 seconds) were used to measure lower body strength, whereas a 30-second arm curl test (number of arm curls in 30 seconds) was used to measure arm strength.<sup>14</sup> Two maximal effort isometric lower body strength (hip flexion, knee extension) tests were also performed.

There were 412 eligible volunteers identified from 24 community centres for older persons, which provide social and recreational day services for its members. The participants recruited from these

Compared to the controls, participants in the buddy group significantly increased their levels of physical activity energy expenditure by 1260 (95% CI, 780-17 460) MET.min.wk<sup>-1</sup> (Table). The improvements in physical activity paralleled with positive changes in aerobic fitness after adjusting for body weight (12%; 95% CI, 4-21%). However, these significant changes only resulted in a small reduction in percentage body fat (-0.6%; 95% CI, -1.1 to -0.0%), with a significant reduction in the duration required to complete the 2.5-m get-up-and-go (-0.3 s; 95% CI, -0.05 to -0.0 s). The combination of motivational tools was no better than the individual interventions.

## Discussion

In the older Hong Kong Chinese population, both the pedometry and buddy peer support interventions significantly increased the mean amount of physical activity. The latter intervention also improved aerobic fitness levels. Despite this, there were only limited improvements in the cardiovascular risk factors, with only the buddy group showing reduced body fat and time required to complete the 2.5-m get-up-and-go test. This is likely due to only a small proportion (7-8%) reaching the activity target, with about half showing a positive increase in activity levels. In part this may have been due to relatively high baseline activity levels to start with. Even the low and medium activity groups were achieving a mean of 7405 (95% CI, 6736-8140) steps.d<sup>-1</sup> and the high activity group a mean of 9806 (95% CI, 8915-10 787) steps.d<sup>-1</sup>. For persons aged >60 years, these levels of daily step counts appear high by international standards, where 6500 is considered common,<sup>16</sup> with 53% (the low/moderate fit) of our Hong Kong subjects averaging nearly 1000 more than this, and 48% in the high activity group achieving over 3000 more. However, these results were consistent with other studies,<sup>17,18</sup> and was likely due to the 'very high walkability' in the Hong Kong environment.<sup>19</sup>

Few studies have reported the impact of changes in physical activity as measured by the IPAQ on anthropometric measures and related vascular risk factors.<sup>20</sup> Such changes in exercise level measured by other instruments are associated with attenuation of age-related decline in many physical and psychological functions.<sup>2,11,21,22</sup> Meta-analyses evaluating the effects of physical activity or fitness on vascular disease involving 2.5 million person-years of observation have shown a clear inverse dose-response relationship between physical activity or fitness and vascular disease risk; active or fit subjects reduce their vascular disease risk by 30 to 50%, compared to corresponding sedentary or unfit persons.<sup>2,10</sup> This suggests that the increase in physical activity in both our pedometry and buddy groups as well as improved aerobic fitness in the buddy group may have a significant long-term beneficial impact

on both all-cause and vascular disease mortality. However, given the lack of a significant improvement in the cardiovascular disease risk factors, larger studies, perhaps with additional risk factors or endpoints are necessary to confirm this assumption.

Recourse to pedometers and the buddy peer support system is a simple means of increasing physical activity in older subjects and targeting obesity and age-related complications. Further research is needed to find alternative or additional means to modify the behaviour of the approximately 50% of the subjects who did not increase their physical activity levels. The reproducibility and long-term maintenance of the improvements in these surrogate risk factors and their subsequent impact on vascular disease morbidity and mortality should also be assessed.

## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Health Services Research Fund, Food and Health Bureau, Hong Kong SAR Government (#01030681). We thank Tonia Chan and Dora Tsung for their invaluable assistance in conducting the study. This article presents a summary of the following study: Thomas GN, Macfarlane DJ, Guo B, et al. Health promotion in older Chinese: a 12-month cluster randomized controlled trial of pedometry and 'peer support'. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44:1157-66. For more details and in depth comments on the observations, please see the original article.

## References

1. American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30:992-1008.
2. Blair SN, Cheng Y, Holder JS. Is physical activity or physical fitness more important in defining health benefits? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33(6 Suppl):S379-99.
3. Hui SC, Tomlinson B, Thomas GN. Relationship between physical activity, fitness and CHD risk in middle-aged Chinese. *J Phys Act Health* 2005;3:307-23.
4. Centers for Disease Control. Available at: [http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/bb\\_nutrition/index.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/bb_nutrition/index.htm). 2003. Accessed 11 November 2010.
5. Thomas GN, Ho SY, Janus ED, et al. The US National Cholesterol Education Programme Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in a Chinese population. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2005;67:251-7.
6. Thomas GN, Ho SY, Lam KS, et al. Impact of obesity and body fat distribution on cardiovascular risk factors in Hong Kong Chinese. *Obes Res* 2004;12:1805-13.
7. Schooling CM, Lam TH, Li ZB, et al. Obesity, physical activity, and mortality in a prospective Chinese elderly cohort. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:1498-504.
8. Kahn EB, Ramsey LT, Brownson RC, et al. The effectiveness of interventions to increase physical activity. A systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2002;22(4 Suppl):73-107.
9. Wenger HA, Bell GJ. The interactions of intensity,

- frequency and duration of exercise training in altering cardiorespiratory fitness. *Sports Med* 1986;3:346-56.
10. Williams PT. Physical fitness and activity as separate heart disease risk factors: a meta-analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33:754-61.
  11. King AC. Physical activity and health enhancement in older adults: current aspects and future prospects. *Ann Behav Med* 1991;13:87-90.
  12. International Physical Activity Questionnaire. Available at: <https://sites.google.com/site/theipaq/>. Accessed 13 May 2011.
  13. Deng HB, Macfarlane DJ, Thomas GN, et al. Reliability and validity of the IPAQ-Chinese: the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008;40:303-7.
  14. Macfarlane DJ, Chou KL, Cheng YH, Chi I. Validity and normative data for thirty-second chair stand test in elderly community-dwelling Hong Kong Chinese. *Am J Hum Biol* 2006;18:418-21.
  15. Tudor-Locke CE, Myers AM. Methodological considerations for researchers and practitioners using pedometers to measure physical (ambulatory) activity. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 2001;72:1-12.
  16. Tudor-Locke C, Bassett DR Jr. How many steps/days are enough? Preliminary pedometer indices for public health. *Sports Med* 2004;34:1-8.
  17. Macfarlane DJ, Lee CC, Ho EY, Chan KL, Chan DT. Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of IPAQ (short, last 7 days). *J Sci Med Sport* 2007;10:45-51.
  18. Bauman A, Bull F, Chey T, et al. The International Prevalence Study on Physical Activity: results from 20 countries. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2009;6:21.
  19. Cerin E, Macfarlane DJ, Ko HH, Chan AK. Measuring perceived neighbourhood walkability in densely-populated urban areas in Asia. *Cities* 2007;24:209-17.
  20. Bravata DM, Smith-Spangler C, Sundaram V, et al. Using pedometers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2007;298:2296-304.
  21. Bortz WM 2nd. Disuse and aging. *JAMA* 1982;248:1203-8.
  22. Paffenbarger RS Jr, Hyde RT, Wing AL, Jung DL, Kampert JB. The association of changes in physical-activity level and other lifestyle characteristics with mortality among men. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:538-45.