TF Leung 梁廷勳 AM Li 李民瞻 GWK Wong 黃永堅 SPS Wong 黃寶誠 CWK Lam 林偉基 PC Ng 伍百祥

Key Messages

- 1. Prediction equations and normograms are established using incentive spirometry in a community cohort of 770 Hong Kong Chinese children aged 2 to 6 years.
- 2. All spirometric parameters depend mainly on standing height. Boys have higher values than girls.
- 3. Forced expiratory volumes depend on birth weight, place of birth, history of wheezing, and environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure.
- 4. High urinary cotinine level as a biomarker of ETS exposure is noted in about one tenth of the children.
- 5. Urinary cotinine level is inversely associated with all spirometric parameters. This supports implementation of the smoking cessation programme.

Hong Kong Med J 2013;19(Suppl 9):S21-5

The Chinese University of Hong Kong: Department of Paediatrics TF Leung, AM Li, GWK Wong, PC Ng Department of Statistics SPS Wong Department of Chemical Pathology CWK Lam

HHSRF project number: 06070261

Principal applicant and corresponding authors Dr Ting Fan Leung Department of Paediatrics, 6/F, Clinical Sciences Building, Prince of Wales Hospital, 30-32 Ngan Shing Street, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong SAR, China Tel: (852) 2632 2981 Fax: (852) 2636 0020 Email: tfleung@cuhk.edu.hk

Spirometric reference standards for preschool children in Hong Kong

Introduction

Spirometry is a standard clinical procedure for assessing the respiratory health of adults and older children. Preschool children have difficulty performing spirometry because of difficulty producing consistent flow volumes, short attention span, low frustration tolerance, and inability to inspire consistently to total lung capacity and exhale completely and consistently to zero flow. Nonetheless, advances in spirometry techniques enable measurements for children as young as 3 years of age.^{1,2} The use of animation programmes is one of these technological improvements. The Asthma UK Collaborative Initiative has collated spirometric data from 53 777 healthy children aged 3 to 7 years old from 15 centres across the United States and Europe, in order to establish reference standards for spirometry in Caucasian preschool children.³ There were only two small reports on spirometric testing in Chinese preschoolers, one in Shenzhen and another in Taiwan. These studies were performed before the availability of any international guideline.² This study aimed to establish the spirometric reference standards in Chinese preschool children in Hong Kong and investigate how demographic, anthropometric, and environmental factors influence the reference standards.

Methods

This study was conducted from January 2009 to September 2010 and was approved by the ethics committee of our university. Sample selection was based on stratified (by districts) and clustered (all subjects within a class) random sampling. Nurseries and kindergartens registered under the Education Bureau were randomly selected in proportion to the childhood population residing in the four geographical regions in Hong Kong. Parents of children completed a questionnaire on demographics, environmental exposure, and respiratory health. Our research staff were blind to such information when measuring the children's lung function by incentive spirometry. Exclusion criteria for spirometric references were premature birth (<37 weeks), birth weight <2.5 kg, reported having current wheeze or any history of asthma, congenital cardiorespiratory tract infection within the last 4 weeks.

Each subject's weight, height (standing and sitting), and waist circumference were measured. Lung function was measured using incentive spirometry (Master Screen, Jaeger GmbH, Würzburg, Germany) according to international guidelines.² The spirometer was calibrated on-site daily using a 1-litre calibration syringe. Each subject performed at least three forced vital capacity (FVC) manoeuvres. Following data acquisition, the same staff reviewed all computer-derived flow-volume curves for technical acceptability.² Spirometric parameters recorded were forced expiratory volume in 0.5 second (FEV_{0.5}), FEV_{0.75}, FEV₁, FVC, forced expiratory flow at 50% of exhalation (FEF₅₀), and peak expiratory flow (PEF). The highest value of the parameters that were technically satisfactory were reported. Fifteen of the children repeated spirometry within 3 weeks to evaluate between-day reproducibility.

Urine samples of half of the children (randomly chosen) were collected and stored within 4 hours at -20°C until analysis for cotinine (Calbiotech, Spring Valley, CA, USA) and creatinine (Jaffe method, Roche Diagnostics GmbH,

Mannheim, Germany). Urinary cotinine-to-creatinine ratios were log-transformed. Significant exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) was defined as cotinine \geq 30 ng/mg creatinine.⁴

Spirometric parameters were compared between subgroups using the Student's *t* test or ANOVA as appropriate, and confirmed by linear regression. Multiple regression analysis was used to determine relationship between subjects' best spirometric parameters and physical traits. The regression models were tested for deviation from linear effects of age, height, and weight by means of additive models. Alternative models in which lung function measures and/or the explanatory variables were log-transformed or square-rooted were also carried out. Prediction equations for different spirometric parameters were derived, and sex-specific normograms were constructed using the LMS method.

Results

Out of 4168 eligible preschool children, 2833 (68%) were recruited. In the training phase, 911 (66.4%) of 1371 eligible children consented to participate. Of them, 832 children attempted spirometry and 79 refused testing (Table 1). Only 123 children met ATS/ERS criteria for valid spirometric measurement, 79 of them fulfilled our inclusion criteria. This high failure rate was not unexpected, as all the research

staff were new to incentive spirometry. Spirometric data from this phase was not included in the analysis of reference standards. In the research phase, 1922 (68.7%) of 2797 children from 19 nurseries and kindergartens consented to participate. Of them, 12.7% (from four schools) resided in Hong Kong Island, 52.8% (from 10 schools) resided in Kowloon, 22.8% (from four schools) resided in the New Territories East, and 11.8% (from one school) resided in the New Territories West. Excluding subjects with medical and technical problems, a subgroup of 770 (40.1%) could provide spirometric data to establish the reference standards.

The success rates of spirometry varied from 40.6% for those aged <3 years to 95.7% for those aged 6 years. Compared with girls, boys had higher FEV_{0.5}, FEV_{0.75}, FEV, FVC, and PEF values (P<0.001 to 0.010), whereas FEF₅₀ and FEV_{0.5}/FVC values were independent of gender. The reference standards for individual parameters were thus separately analysed for boys and girls. FEF₅₀ was not analysed because of an unsatisfactory R^2 by linear regression. Standing height without any data transformation was the strongest predictor for $\text{FEV}_{0.5}$, $\text{FEV}_{0.75}$, FEV_1 , FVC, and PEF. Table 2 summarises the prediction equations for different spirometric parameters. Bland-Altman plots revealed good between-day agreement for FEV_{0.5} and FVC, with the respective Cronbach's α being 0.985 (95% CI, 0.956-0.995) and 0.995 (95% CI, 0.987-0.998) [P<0.001 for both].

Table 1. Demographic and chinical reatures of subjects in training and research phases
--

Characteristic	All children in the	Research phase		
	training phase (n=946)*	All children who consented (n=1922)	Children providing spirometric data (n=770)	
Mean±SD age (years)	4.5±1.0	4.4±1.0	4.8±0.9 [†]	
No. (%) of males	489 (51.7)	1053 (54.8)	417 (54.2)	
Anthropometric parameters (mean±SD)				
Body weight (kg)	17.7±3.9	17.5±3.6	18.4±3.9	
Standing height (cm)	105.1±8.4	105.2±8.3	107.3±7.7 ⁺	
Sitting height (cm)	-	58.1±5.6	58.8±5.8	
Waist circumference (cm)	49.5±4.5	49.2±4.9	49.8±5.1	
Birth history (no. [%] of subjects)				
Born <37 weeks of gestation	70 (7.9)	154 (8.0)	-	
Birth weight <2.5 kg	57 (6.4)	160 (8.3)	-	
Born outside Hong Kong	64 (6.8)	142 (7.4)	71 (9.2)	
Breastfeeding ever (no. [%] of subjects)	462 (48.7)	996 (51.8)	402 (52.2)	
Daycare attendance ever (no. [%] of subjects)	129 (13.6)	337 (17.5)	131 (17.0)	
Current domestic tobacco smoke exposure (no. [%] of subjects)	382 (40.3)	770 (40.1)	304 (39.5)	
Maternal tobacco smoking (no. [%] of subjects)				
During pregnancy	32 (3.5)	76 (4.0)	29 (3.8)	
During infancy	79 (8.5)	157 (8.2)	56 (7.3)	
Over past 12 months	90 (9.5)	190 (9.9)	66 (8.6)	
Dog/cat keeping at home (no. [%] of subjects)				
During infancy	155 (16.3)	192 (10.0)	73 (9.5)	
Over past 12 months	129 (13.6)	122 (6.3)	45 (5.8)	
History of atopic disorders (no. [%] of subjects)				
Asthma ever	64 (6.8)	99 (5.2)	-	
Current wheeze	89 (9.4)	204 (10.6)	-	
Rhinitis ever	235 (24.8)	543 (28.3)	197 (25.6)	
Eczema ever	299 (31.5)	668 (34.8)	239 (31.0)	

* Including 911 consented children and 35 children who returned questionnaires but did not consent for spirometry

[†] Age distribution for boys: 2 years (n=15), 3 years (n=82), 4 years (n=131), 5 years (n=161), and 6 years (n=28). Age distribution for girls: 2 years (n=13), 3 years (n=75), 4 years (n=7

Spirometric index	Height (cm)	α	β	R^2	Residual SD
Forced expiratory volume in 0.5 second (FEV _{0.5}) [L]					
Boys (n=417)	83.1-130.0	-1.267	0.019	0.623	0.116
Girls (n=353)	83.0-128.1	-1.387	0.020	0.652	0.109
FEV _{0.75} (L)					
Boys (n=414)	83.1-130.0	-1.534	0.023	0.668	0.126
Girls (n=347)	83.0-128.1	-1.644	0.024	0.703	0.114
FEV ₁ (L)					
Boys (n=388)	83.1-130.0	-1.767	0.026	0.700	0.130
Girls (n=327)	83.0-128.1	-1.769	0.025	0.718	0.117
Forced vital capacity (L)					
Boys (n=417)	83.1-130.0	-2.211	0.031	0.727	0.149
Girls (n=353)	83.0-128.1	-2.115	0.030	0.723	0.136
Peak expiratory flow (L/s)					
Boys (n=417)	83.1-130.0	-3.971	0.058	0.530	0.428
Girls (n=353)	83.0-128.1	-4.782	0.065	0.561	0.429

Table 2. Prediction equations for spirometric parameters in Chinese preschool children as a function of: Spirometric index= α + β x standing height

Urine samples were collected from 861 (44.8%) subjects. The cotinine level was high in 92 (10.7\%) samples, which were from 77 (23.4%) of 329 children who reported current ETS exposure and 15 (2.8%) of 532 children who reported no such history (P<0.001).

High ETS exposure (high urinary cotinine level) was associated with lower values for all parameters, whereas subjects with parent-reported ETS exposure had higher FEV_{0.5}, FEV_{0.75}, FVC, and PEF values. An inverse trend was noted between urinary cotinine levels (from lowest to highest quartiles) and values of $\text{FEV}_{0.5}$ (P=0.002), FEV_{0.75} (P=0.001), FEV₁ (P=0.016), FEF₅₀ (P=0.009), and PEF (P<0.001), but not FVC (P=0.098). Children with recent respiratory tract infections (n=397) had lower FEV_{0.5} values than those without (mean±SD, 0.70±0.21 vs 0.77±0.19 L; P<0.001). Similar findings were observed for FEV_{0.75}, FEV₁, FVC, and PEF (P<0.001 for all), but not FEF_{50} (P=0.079) values. Table 3 summarises the effects of demographic, anthropometric, and early-life factors on spirometric variables. Stepwise linear regression revealed that FEV_{0.5} was associated with place of birth (β =0.027; 95% CI, 0.004-0.050; P=0.021) and history of current wheeze (β =0.002; 95% CI, 0.001-0.004; P=0.034), after adjustment for age, sex, residence, standing height, and respiratory tract infection as covariates. A history of asthma was associated with low FEF₅₀ (β =0.192; 95% CI, 0.104-0.280; P≤0.001) and FEV_{0.5}/FVC (β=4.731; 95% CI, 2.664-6.799; P<0.001) values.

Discussion

Spirometric parameters in Chinese school-age children were up to 12% lower than in Caucasians. This difference may have been due to body size and shape. Chinese newborns had smaller chest circumferences than Caucasian babies, and probably have smaller lungs. However, by superimposing medians and 5th percentile lines for FEV₁ and FVC of Caucasians³ on our normograms, these parameters were in fact higher in Chinese than Caucasian preschool children. This observation might be attributed to our use of animation programmes as an incentive.

As in other populations, standing height was the best predictor of different spirometric outcomes. Lung function parameters ($\text{FEV}_{0.5}$, FEV_1 , and FVC) were higher in boys than girls, which was consistent with reports in Caucasian populations.^{3,5} Because of small sample sizes, caution is needed when applying the prediction equations to children at extremes of age or standing height.

The Asthma UK Collaborative Initiative lacked sufficient data relevant to the present prediction equations for $\text{FEV}_{0.5}$, and the smaller sample size for $\text{FEV}_{0.75}$ also limited appropriate interpretation of any sex difference for this parameter.³ This may be partly due to unavailability of international guidelines at that time. Our study focused on the measurement of $\text{FEV}_{1.75}$ as it is more clinically relevant for children, whereas $\text{FEV}_{0.5}$ could be measured in all recruited subjects who provided valid spirometric data.

This study had several limitations. Like other preschool spirometric references,^{1,3,5} this cross-sectional study had limited interpretation of longitudinal changes in lung function. The retrospective nature of the study made it difficult to distinguish differences in lung function attributable to equipment and measurement techniques from genuine differences. Nonetheless, we performed incentive spirometry according to international guidelines,² which should have minimised intra- and inter-observer variability. In terms of subject selection, it was impossible to accurately define the presence of respiratory tract infections unless respiratory samples had been collected to screen for common respiratory pathogens. To overcome this problem, we excluded subjects with fever of $\geq 38^{\circ}$ C, cough, rhinorrhoea, and sore throat within 4 weeks. Our study population might have over-represented Kowloon children and under-represented those from Hong Kong Island and the New Territories West, when compared with 2006 population by-census statistics. This discrepancy was due to the high refusal rate from schools in the New Territories West, small student numbers in schools on

Characteristic	Forced expiratory volume in 0.5 second (FEV _{0.5}) [L]	P value	Forced expiratory flow at 50% of exhalation (FEF ₅₀) [L/s]	P value	FEV _{0.5} / forced vital capacity (%)	P value
Sex	0.0	0.002		0.552		0.001
Male (n=770)	0.762 (0.199)		1.352 (0.449)		70.8 (9.1)	
Female	0.730 (0.188)		1.370 (0.685)		72.5 (9.1)	
Born <37 weeks gestation	× ,	0.787	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.304	. ,	0.353
Yes (n=103)	0.743 (0.179)		1.305 (0.396)		70.8 (9.9)	
No	0.748 (0.196)		1.364 (0.578)		71.6 (9.1)	
Low birth weight <2.5 kg		0.001		0.009		0.434
Yes (n=107)	0.690 (0.195)		1.222 (0.446)		70.8 (10.6)	
No	0.753 (0.194)		1.372 (0.575)		71.6 (9.0)	
Born outside Hong Kong		<0.001		<0.001		0.695
Yes (n=115)	0.855 (0.187)		1.528 (0.472)		71.3 (7.6)	
No	0.738 (0.192)		1.345 (0.573)		71.6 (9.3)	
Breastfeeding ever		0.988		0.236		0.118
Yes (n=722)	0.748 (0.197)		1.377 (0.664)		71.9 (9.1)	
No	0.748 (0.192)		1.342 (0.442)		71.2 (9.2)	
Daycare attendance ever		0.204		0.206		0.257
Yes (n=242)	0.733 (0.202)		1.318 (0.455)		70.9 (9.8)	
No	0.751 (0.193)		1.369 (0.588)		71.7 (9.0)	
Current domestic tobacco smoke exposure		0.520		0.881		0.846
Yes (n=582)	0.752 (0.189)		1.357 (0.436)		71.5 (8.8)	
No	0.745 (0.198)		1.362 (0.645)		71.6 (9.4)	
Maternal tobacco smoking during pregnancy		0.355		0.847		0.663
Yes (n=57)	0.771 (0.178)		1.374 (0.352)		72.1 (8.1)	
No	0.747 (0.195)		1.359 (0.575)		71.5 (9.2)	
Maternal tobacco smoking during infancy		0.984		0.643		0.382
Yes (n=113)	0.748 (0.201)		1.336 (0.453)		70.8 (8.4)	
No	0.747 (0.194)		1.362 (0.577)		71.6 (9.2)	
Maternal tobacco smoking over past 12 months	0.750 (0.100)	0.783		0.379	70.4 (0.4)	0.069
Yes (n=141)	0.752 (0.193)		1.320 (0.430)		70.4 (8.1)	
No	0.747 (0.195)		1.364 (0.581)		71.7 (9.3)	
Dog/cat keeping at home during infancy	0 7 4 0 (0 4 7 4)	0.259	1 0 40 (0 000)	0.297	74 7 (0.0)	0.822
Yes (n=125)	0.746 (0.171)		1.346 (0.393)		71.7 (9.2)	
NO LA	0.748 (0.196)	0.004	1.361 (0.579)	0.004	71.5 (9.1)	0.400
Dog/cat keeping at home over past 12 months		0.004		0.031	TO (0, T)	0.493
Yes (n=73)	0.721 (0.177)		1.329 (0.423)		72.1 (9.5)	
NO	0.750 (0.196)	0.000	1.363 (0.580)	0.010	71.5 (9.1)	0.001
History of astrima ever	0.707 (0.404)	0.608	1 0 1 0 (0 1 0 0)	0.018	007400	<0.001
Yes (n=76)	0.737 (0.181)		1.210 (0.430)		66.7 (10.4)	
NO	0.748 (0.195)	0.404	1.369 (0.573)	0.074	71.8 (9.0)	0.000
History of wheezing ever	0,700 (0,000)	0.431	1 0 4 0 (0 0 5 4)	0.674		0.002
Yes (n=241)	0.739 (0.206)		1.346 (0.954)		69.9 (9.6)	
	0.750 (0.192)	0.040	1.363 (0.448)	0.000	71.9 (9.0)	0.055
	0.710(0.014)	0.042		0.369	(0, 0, (1, 0, 0))	0.255
Yes (n=153)	0.718 (0.214)		1.321 (1.153)		69.3 (10.3)	
INU	0.771 (0.190)	0.000	1.379 (0.434)	0.000	10.1 (8.2)	0.400
$rac{1}{1}$	0 770 (0 107)	0.002	1 205 (0 440)	0.296	71 0 (0 1)	0.469
165 (II=400)	0.778 (0.197)		1.303 (0.448)		71.3 (9.1)	
lintony of octome over	0.738 (0.193)	0.004	1.350 (0.010)	0 500	71.7 (9.2)	0.070
V_{00} (n=476)	0 7 4 1 (0 107)	0.324	1 071 (0 755)	0.590	71 5 (0 0)	0.972
No	0.741 (U.197) 0.750 (0.100)		1.37 I (U.733)		716(01)	
INU	0.752 (0.193)		1.334 (0.442)		(1.8) 0.1)	

Table 3. Association	between spirometric	parameters and potentia	I risk and protective	factors in 1402	children with	valid
spirometric data						

Hong Kong Island, and large student numbers in schools in Kowloon. Nonetheless, the body anthropometry (and thus lung volume) of preschoolers in the four geographical regions was similar. As all the subjects did not have asthma/wheezing, they should not have been vulnerable to environmental exposures (eg ambient pollution) that might have differed in these regions.

Conclusions

This territory-wide community study established normograms and prediction equations by incentive spirometry for Chinese children in Hong Kong. Standing height was the best predictor for FEV, which was higher in boys than girls. High ETS exposure (high urinary cotinine level) was associated with lower values for all measured parameters; FEV were influenced by intercurrent respiratory tract infection, birth weight, place of birth, and a history of wheezing.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Health and Health Services Research Fund, Food and Health Bureau, Hong Kong SAR Government (#06070261). We thank the principals and responsible teachers in all participating nurseries and kindergartens for their generous support of our field work, and the children and their parents for joining this study.

References

- Eigen H, Bieler H, Grant D, et al. Spirometric pulmonary function in healthy preschool children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;163:619-23.
- 2. Beydon N, Davis SD, Lombardi E, et al. An official American

Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: pulmonary function testing in preschool children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;175:1304-45.

- Stanojevic S, Wade A, Cole TJ, et al. Spirometry centile charts for young Caucasian children: the Asthma UK Collaborative Initiative. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;180:547-52.
- Henderson FW, Reid HF, Morris R, et al. Home air nicotine levels and urinary cotinine excretion in preschool children. Am Rev Respir Dis 1989;140:197-201.
- Nystad W, Samuelsen SO, Nafstad P, Edvardsen E, Stensrud T, Jaakkola JJ. Feasibility of measuring lung function in preschool children. Thorax 2002;57:1021-7.