Five-step hand hygiene programme for students with mild intellectual disability: abridged secondary publication

RLT Lee *, C Leung, H Chen, WK Tong, PH Lee

KEY MESSAGE

The simplified five-step hand-washing procedure is more effective than the World Health Organization seven-step hand-washing procedure in terms of improvement in hand-washing quality and absenteeism rate in children with mild intellectual disabilities.

Hong Kong Med J 2022;28(Suppl 3):S41-2

HMRF project number: 13121452

¹ RLT Lee, ² C Leung, ³ H Chen, ⁴ WK Tong, ⁵ PH Lee

- ¹ The Nethersole School of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
- ² Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia
- ³ Centre for Health Protection, Department of Health, Hong Kong
- ⁴ Hospital Authority, Hong Kong
- ⁵ Department of Health Science, University of Leicester, United Kingdom
- * Principal applicant and corresponding author: reginalee@cuhk.edu.hk

Introduction

School-age children with intellectual disabilities (ID) are more vulnerable to infectious diseases because of difficulties to follow procedures involving proper hand washing.^{1,2} Frequent hand-to-mouth and close contact activities without proper hand washing place school-age children with ID at greater risk of acquiring infection.³ Most school-age children with ID have limited cognitive ability to recognise their health problems, describe their symptoms, and express their needs to others. The Centre for Health Protection recommends that targeted hand hygiene programmes be implemented in special schools for children with ID to prevent the spread of infection in the early stages of an outbreak.³

Children in school settings are 18 times more likely to contract pathogens than those staying at home.⁴ School-based hand-washing programmes are an important strategy to reduce the spread of illness.5 The World Health Organization sevenstep hand-washing procedure is commonly used in special education school settings.⁶ We simplified it to a five-step procedure by rubbing palms and fingers at the same time and by eliminating the wristrubbing procedure. Thus, the five steps are rubbing (1) between fingers, (2) backs of hands, (3) backs of fingers, (4) fingertips, and (5) thumbs. We aimed to evaluate the simplified five-step procedure in terms of improvement in the quality of hand washing and reduction in school absenteeism in students with mild ID.

Methods

This quasi-experimental pilot study used a pre-test and post-test design, with a control group and a sustainability test. It was conducted over 12 weeks from 26 February to 30 May 2014 and consisted of four phases: (1) programme development, (2) programme validation, (3) feasibility testing,

and (4) sustainability assessment (4 weeks post-intervention).

The simplified five-step hand-washing programme used multimedia visualisation teaching strategies. The World Health Organization sevenstep hand-washing programme with standard teaching strategies was used as the control group.

Two special schools were selected based on convenience sampling. The schools were similar in terms of characteristics and socioeconomics. Neither school had ever participated in any simplified handwashing programme. Both schools had full-time school nurses and only enrolled children with mild ID without any physical challenges. A total of 140 students with mild ID (70 students per school) was the recruitment target. The inclusion criteria were those aged 6 to 15 years with mild ID (IQ score of 50-69) who were able to follow simple instructions and understand the training materials. Exclusion criteria were moderate-to-severe ID (IQ score of \leq 49) and inability to comprehend and remember the instructions and training materials.

A validated fluorescent stain rating test was used to quantitatively assess the quality of hand washing, with scores ranging from 0 to 3.⁷ Direct observation of hand-washing practices was conducted by school nurses using a validated checklist. A sustainability assessment was conducted at 4 weeks after the completion of the programme. The 12-month absenteeism rate was acquired.

The Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to compare the outcomes between the intervention and control groups from pre-test to immediately post-test. For the sustainability assessment, the fluorescent stain ratings at immediately post-test and at 4 weeks after the completion of the programme were compared using the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test. The efficacy of the programme in reducing school absenteeism was evaluated by comparing the one-year averaged sickness-related school absenteeism before and after the intervention.

Results

A total of 155 students (112 boys and 39 girls) aged 6 to 16 years with mild ID were recruited in the intervention (n=78) and control (n=73) schools. Both samples were matched.

In direct observation, by the end of the first 2 weeks, more students in the intervention school than in the control school (45% vs. 18%) were able to wash their hands spontaneously without prompting.

In the fluorescent stain rating test, students in the intervention school had a significant increase in the hand-washing quality from pre-test to post-test in terms of the dorsum and palm aspects in both hands: left dorsum (+1.05, P<0.001), right dorsum (+1.00, P<0.001), left palm (+0.98, P<0.001), and right palm (+1.09, P<0.001), with a greater overall increase compared with students in the control school (+1.03 vs +0.34, P<0.001). Older students (secondary school form 1 to 3) performed hand washing better than younger students (primary school year 1 to 6) in the three time points.

In the sustainability test, 25 (32%) students in the intervention school were randomly selected at 4 weeks after the completion of the programme, the fluorescent staining rating of the 25 students at 4 weeks was not significantly different from that of the intervention group at immediately post-test in terms of the left dorsum (-0.04, P=0.82), right dorsum (-0.06, P=0.67), left palm (-0.08, P=0.72), and right palm (-0.04, P=0.73).

The intervention school had a significantly lower absenteeism rate than the control school in the same year $(0.0167 \pm 0.033 \text{ vs } 0.028 \pm 0.034, \text{ P}=0.04)$.

Discussion

Multimedia visualisation teaching strategies such as video modelling with visual prompts including lyrics and posters have been integrated into our simplified hand-washing programme.^{8,9} Both the intervention and control groups had a significant increase in the hand washing quality from pre-test to post-test, with a greater increase in the intervention group (P<0.001). This indicates that the programme is effective in enabling students with mild ID to learn proper hand-washing procedures. Our findings support the use of the simplified five-step handwashing programme in students with mild ID in special school settings. Our findings have important implications for the prevention of infectious disease outbreaks in the early stages that are of concern to the public health sector.¹⁰ Quantitative estimates of the efficacy of hand hygiene interventions and feedbacks from school nurse and teachers for programme implementation may inform resource allocation for infection prevention and control plans for the target schools, eventually benefiting the school community.

Conclusions

The simplified five-step hand-washing procedure is more effective than the World Health Organization seven-step hand-washing procedure in terms of improvement in hand-washing quality and absenteeism rate in children with mild ID.

Funding

This study was supported by the Health and Medical Research Fund, Food and Health Bureau, Hong Kong SAR Government (#13121452). The full report is available from the Health and Medical Research Fund website (https://rfs1.fhb.gov.hk/index.html).

Disclosure

The results of this research have been previously published in:

1. Lee RL, Leung C, Tong WK, Chen H, Lee PH. Comparative efficacy of a simplified handwashing program for improvement in hand hygiene and reduction of school absenteeism among children with intellectual disability. Am J Infect Control 2015;43:907-12.

References

- 1. Cannella-Malone HI, Fleming C, Chung YC, Wheeler GM, Basbagill AR, Singh AH. Teaching daily living skills to seven individuals with severe intellectual disabilities: a comparison of video prompting to video modeling. J Posit Behav Interv 2011;13:144-53.
- Choi KS, Wong PK, Chung WY. Using computer-assisted method to teach children with intellectual disabilities handwashing skills. Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol 2012;7:507-16.
- Wong VW, Cowling BJ, Aiello AE. Hand hygiene and risk of influenza virus infections in the community: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiol Infect 2014;142:922-32.
- Bylinsky G. The new fight against killer microbes. Fortune 1994;130:74-80.
- Centre for Health Protection. Centre for Health Protection advises special school with influenza A outbreak to suspend classes. Available from: http://www.chp.gov.hk/ en/content/568/32914.html. Accessed 9 November 2014.
- World Health Organization. Clean Care is Safe Care. 'Based on the 'How to Hand Wash'. Available from: http:// www.who.int/gpsc/5may/How_To_HandWash_Poster.pdf
- Lee RL, Lee PH. To evaluate the effects of a simplified hand washing improvement program in schoolchildren with mild intellectual disability: a pilot study. Res Dev Disabil 2014;35:3014-25.
- 8. Decker MM, Buggey T. Using video self- and peer modeling to facilitate reading fluency in children with learning disabilities. J Learn Disabil 2014;47:167-77.
- 9. Colyer SP, Collins BC. Using natural cues within prompt levels to teach the next dollar strategy to students with disabilities. J Spec Educ 1996;30:305-18.
- Centre for Health Protection. Scientific Committee on Infection Control. Available from: http://www.chp.gov.hk/ files/pdf/grp_recommend_integrating_gloves_20050128. pdf. Accessed 9 November 2014.