Intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection in total knee arthroplasty: a local hospital experience in Hong Kong

Jason CH Fan *

A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Data from a local report revealed the superior outcome of regional anaesthesia and analgesia compared with general anaesthesia and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia in total knee arthroplasty. This retrospective study aimed to assess the efficacy of intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection in improving postoperative pain and reducing morphine consumption with patient-controlled analgesia after total knee arthroplasty in patients with knee osteoarthritis.

Methods: From July 2005 to May 2009, 213 total knee arthroplasties without intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection (control group) were performed at a local hospital. From June 2009 to December 2012, 185 total knee arthroplasties were performed with intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection (cocktail group). The inclusion criteria were osteoarthritis of the knee, single method of anaesthesia (general or neuraxial), simple total knee arthroplasty without any metal augmentation or constraint, and postoperative patient-controlled analgesia. Postoperative patient-controlled morphine doses were compared.

Results: A total of 152 total knee arthroplasties were recruited to the cocktail group, and 89 to the control group. Duration of tourniquet application and preoperative knee score did not significantly correlate with morphine consumption by patient-controlled analgesia. Multimodal injection significantly decreased such consumption for 36 h. When injection was separately analysed for general and neuraxial anaesthesia, the effect lasted for 42 h and 24 h, respectively.

Conclusion: Intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection decreased morphine consumption for up to 42 h postoperatively.

New knowledge added by this study
* Intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection in total knee arthroplasty could decrease parenteral morphine consumption for up to 42 hours.

Implications for clinical practice or policy
* Intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection should be adopted as a standard local practice for postoperative pain control. This practice may be extended to operations other than total knee arthroplasty.

Introduction

Postoperative pain following total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is reported to be severe in approximately 60% of patients and moderate in approximately 30%. It is associated with arthrofibrosis and diminished range of motion. Good pain relief is important for rehabilitation following TKA. Many modes of perioperative and postoperative analgesia are available, and involve various combinations of systemic and regional analgesia. Intra-operative periarticular multimodal drug injection has been well documented as an excellent method to alleviate postoperative pain following TKA. Nonetheless, a previous retrospective study and a randomised trial analysing two different groups of patients with multiple diagnoses and multiple anaesthetic methods revealed that the effect of periarticular injection might have been affected by different causes of end-stage arthritis leading to TKA. Different anaesthetic methods could also have affected patients’ perception of pain and parenteral morphine consumption.

In 2006, Chu et al reported the superior outcome of regional anaesthesia and regionally delivered analgesia compared with general anaesthesia (GA) and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) in TKA at the Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital (AHNH). Since June 2009, intra-operative periarticular multimodal injection (IPMI) consisting of an opioid (morphine), a long-acting local anaesthetic and a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) was adopted at AHNH. A previous study revealed that IPMI could improve patient satisfaction, reduce blood loss, and decrease time to commencement of ambulation and discharge following TKA.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the efficacy of IPMI in improving postoperative pain and reducing morphine consumption with PCA following TKA. The study was conducted from July 2005 to May 2009. Total knee arthroplasties performed without IPMI were considered as the control group (control group), whereas those performed with IPMI were the intervention group (cocktail group). The inclusion criteria were osteoarthritis of the knee, single method of anaesthesia (general or neuraxial), simple total knee arthroplasty without any metal augmentation or constraint, and postoperative PCA. Postoperative patient-controlled morphine doses were compared.
Patient selection

From July 2005 to May 2009, 213 TKAs without IPMI (control group) were performed at AHNH. They included 196 knees with osteoarthritis (OA) and 17 knees with rheumatoid arthritis. From June 2009 to December 2012, 185 TKAs were performed with IPMI (cocktail group). There were 175 OA knees, nine rheumatoid arthritis knees, and one Charcot knee.

All TKAs were performed through an anterior midline incision and medial parapatellar arthrotomy with tourniquet pressure of 300 mm Hg. A cemented posterior stabilised model was used in all cases except for two cases in the control group and nine cases in the cocktail group where a semi-constrained TKA was performed. All operations were performed under GA or neuraxial anaesthesia (NeA) that was either combined spinal epidural or spinal anaesthesia. Four TKAs in the cocktail group and four in the control group were performed with combined GA and NeA. A closed-suction surgical drain was inserted and was routinely removed on postoperative day 2.

For postoperative pain control in the control group, PCA was used in 112 TKAs, EpA in 66 TKAs, and subcutaneous morphine injections in 10 TKAs. A 4-point pain scale was completed by a pain nurse to assess pain in 189 PCA patients before October 2008 and a 10-point pain scale used in 23 PCA patients thereafter. In the cocktail group, 152 TKAs were managed with PCA, three with EpA, and three with subcutaneous morphine injections. Pain in all PCA patients was assessed by a 10-point pain scale.

The inclusion criteria for this study were OA of the knee, single method of anaesthesia of either GA or NeA, simple TKA without any metal augmentation or constraint, and postoperative PCA. The patients in the control group who were assessed by the 10-point pain scale were excluded to ensure a common pain assessment tool for each group.

Method of data retrieval, analysis, and study hypothesis

This was a retrospective cohort observational study carried out in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed patient consent was not required because it was a record-based study that revealed no individual identities or sensitive individual information. The medical records and electronic patient records were traced and the necessary data—including demographic data, TKA model and anaesthetic method, first 72-hour pain score and morphine consumption, and postoperative complications—were entered into an electronic file by a single member of staff blinded to the study hypothesis. The accuracy of the data was selectively double-checked.
by the author. To enable comparison, pain score was divided by 4 when the 4-point scale was used and by 10 for the 10-point scale. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Windows version 13.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago [IL], United States) was used for analysis. The null hypothesis was that IPMI would not alleviate postoperative pain and would not reduce PCAMC. The Chi squared test and two-tailed independent t test were used to analyse categorical and continuous data, respectively. The Pearson correlation test was used to detect any relationship between cumulative PCAMC and tourniquet time, and between PCAMC and preoperative knee score. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Perioperative variables

A total of 152 knees (134 patients) in the cocktail group and 89 knees (76 patients) in the control group were recruited (Fig 1). Table 1 shows the demographic data and clinical characteristics of patients, and Table 2 shows the models of primary TKAs and anaesthetic methods. There was no statistically significant difference in age, sex, the side operated on, and mean preoperative knee score or function score between the two groups. Tourniquet time was significantly longer in the control group (P<0.05). There was no correlation between tourniquet time and PCAMC for any postoperative period (all correlation coefficients <0.1 and P>0.05). This indicated that tourniquet time was not confounding. Preoperative knee score was not correlated with PCAMC (all correlation coefficients <0.2 and P>0.05). Comparison of the number of Press Fit Condylar Sigma and non–Press Fit Condylar models between the two groups revealed a statistical significance (P<0.001). However, all these models substitute for the posterior cruciate ligament and

![FIG 1. Knee recruitment in the control group and cocktail group](image)

**TABLE 1. Patient and clinical characteristics, by study group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Value, mean (range) [unless otherwise stated]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cocktail group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients/knees</td>
<td>134/152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Overall 68.9 (53-84) 67.4 (44-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 67.5 (53-82) 66.1 (44-80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NeA 69.8 (55-84) 69.1 (53-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Overall 100/34 59/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 40/15 31/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NeA 60/19 28/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left/right knee</td>
<td>Overall 78/74 43/46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 35/25 23/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NeA 43/49 20/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee score</td>
<td>Overall 39.5 (0-76) 36.8 (8-77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 38.2 (10-69) 37.0 (19-69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NeA 40.4 (0-76) 36.5 (8-77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function score</td>
<td>Overall 47.6 (0-100) 47.4 (0-80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 46.0 (0-80) 46.1 (0-80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NeA 48.6 (0-100) 49.0 (0-75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourniquet time (min)</td>
<td>Overall 105.5 (22-191) 116.4 (13-160)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA 108.0 (22-191) 118.4 (64-160)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NeA 103.9 (25-157) 113.9 (13-146)‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GA = general anaesthesia; NeA = neuraxial anaesthesia including spinal anaesthesia and combined spinal epidural anaesthesia

* P=0.001
† P=0.029
‡ P=0.024
have a similar design. They were all used in primary simple TKA, and model type would not have caused any difference in early postoperative pain perception.

**Cumulative patient-controlled analgesia morphine consumption**

The mean cumulative PCAMC in both the cocktail and control groups increased gradually until 72 h postoperatively (Fig 2). The difference between the two groups reached statistical significance in the first 36 h. When effects of GA and NeA were reviewed separately, significantly less PCA morphine was required in the cocktail group than in the control group for the first 42 h (after GA) and 24 h (after NeA).

**Pain scale and complication**

Figure 3 shows a decreasing severity of pain for both groups in the initial 72 h after surgery. There was no statistically significant difference between groups when TKA was performed under GA. In patients who underwent TKA under NeA, patients in the control group had a lower pain scale score by 0.1 at 12 h and from 24 to 48 h compared with the cocktail group, although this was gradually reversed up to 72 h. There were no adverse effects or complications as a result of IPMI.

**Discussion**

Severe pain following TKA may be related to bone or soft tissue trauma or hyperperfusion following tourniquet release.6 Surgical difficulty in TKA has also been found to be related to postoperative pain7 and related to bone loss, severe deformity, flexion contracture, and poor range, all of which contribute to a low preoperative knee score. Nonetheless, in this study, the duration of tourniquet application was not significantly correlated with morphine consumption; and preoperative knee score was not correlated with PCAMC.

Pain management for TKA should start preoperatively and intra-operatively. The preemptive use of analgesia has been shown to prevent central sensitisation and improve postoperative pain control.6-12 Busch et al6 conducted a randomised trial of periarticular multimodal drug injection of ropivacaine, ketorolac, epimorphine, and epinephrine in 64 TKA patients. They reported significantly lower pain scores, increased patient satisfaction scores, and decreased requirement for PCA in the first 24 hours after surgery. In another randomised trial of periarticular injection of bupivacaine, fentanyl, and methylprednisolone in either side of bilateral TKAs in 40 patients, pain scores were significantly lower, and active knee flexion ranges were greater until the fourth week after surgery.13 Maheshwari et al13 emphasised the importance of periarticular injection in multimodal pain management following TKA at the Ranawat Orthopaedic Center, United States, and PCA was no longer used because of the high rates of systemic opioid side-effects.

The AHNH includes morphine in multimodal injections because opioid receptors are present in peripheral inflamed tissue.14,15 They are expressed within hours of surgical trauma and are thought to be responsible for afferent sensory input to the central nervous system.16,17 The injection also includes levobupivacaine, which is pharmacokinetically similar to bupivacaine. It is a pure left-isomer and has less cardiac and central nervous system toxicity.18 Corticosteroid was not added to the injection, although studies19,20 have shown that methylprednisolone in periarticular injections in total joint surgeries caused no delayed wound healing or wound infection. Mullaji et al21 advocated cautious use of steroid for fear of increasing the risk of surgical site infection in patients who (1) had prior open surgical procedures, (2) were undergoing revision TKA, (3) had poor nutritional status, (4) were immunocompromised, (5) were rheumatoid, or (6) were diabetic. In the current study, periarticular injection of a specific mixture decreased PCAMC for up to 42 hours. In 2013, Andersen et al21 advocated the addition of ketorolac during local infiltration analgesia. They prepared the medication by mixing 150 mL of ropivacaine 2 mg/mL with 1 mL of ketorolac 30 mg/mL; to 100 mL of this mixture was added 0.5 mL of epinephrine 1 mg/mL. The mixture containing epinephrine was injected into the posterior capsule and around the prosthesis, and the 50 mL without epinephrine was injected into the fascia and subcutis. An intra-articular catheter was left in place to enable eight postoperative bolus injections of analgesic without epinephrine. It was found that ketorolac successfully reduced morphine consumption, pain intensity, and length of hospital

**TABLE 2. Total knee arthroplasty model and anaesthesia, by study group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Cocktail group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Press Fit Condylar Sigma (Depuy)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nexgen (Zimmer)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon (Stryker)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis II (Smith &amp; Nephew)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined spinal epidural</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Periarticular multimodal injection during TKA

**FIG 2.** Postoperative morphine consumption by patient-controlled analgesia after total knee arthroplasty

Abbreviations: All = combined all anaesthesia; Coc = cocktail group; Con = control group; GA = general anaesthesia; NeA = neuraxial anaesthesia

* Bars denote the cocktail group, and lines the control group
† $P<0.05$

**FIG 3.** Postoperative pain scale score after total knee arthroplasty

Abbreviations: All = combined all anaesthesia; Coc = cocktail group; Con = control group; GA = general anaesthesia; NeA = neuraxial anaesthesia

* Bars denote the cocktail group, and lines the control group
† $P<0.05$, control group < cocktail group
‡ $P<0.05$, control group > cocktail group
Regional anaesthesia is the preferred method.\textsuperscript{7,8} The previous randomised trials of multimodal drug injection in TKA involved a mixed group of GA and regional anaesthesia,\textsuperscript{6} or excluded the samples of GA.\textsuperscript{13} Randomisation of anaesthesia in clinical trials is unethical because of the obvious benefit of regional anaesthesia that avoids central nervous system depression and prevents deep vein thrombosis following TKA.\textsuperscript{21} A retrospective study stratifying different types of anaesthesia is therefore the preferred method, as in the current study. The present study revealed that IPMI in TKA under NeA could significantly decrease PCAMC for 24 hours.

A concordant finding could not be obtained between the effect of IPMI on PCAMC and subjective pain scale. It is possible that the greater use of PCA morphine in the control group in earlier years explained the lower postoperative pain scores. Nonetheless, this could not explain the absence of this phenomenon in the GA subgroup. Rather, it may be explained by the secular change in patient expectations. To many patients early on, TKA was well-known to be associated with a high level of pain. They may have therefore used more PCA morphine. The level of perceived pain was then less than expected with a consequent lower pain score. With increasing popularity of TKA and knowledge of IPMI, patients may have been overly optimistic about the outcome. The 4-point pain scale used in the control group may have exaggerated this discrepancy when one lower grade of pain severity was equal to a 0.25-drop in pain score compared with a 0.1-drop in the 10-point pain scale.

Lamplot et al\textsuperscript{23} reported that the use of periarticular injection and multimodal analgesics could lower pain scores, with fewer adverse effects, lower narcotic usage, higher patient satisfaction, and faster recovery. At the AHNH, TKA protocols for perioperative pain management, blood management, and rehabilitation were altered following the establishment of the Joint Replacement Centre in October 2015. For the pain management protocol, the hospital now uses preemptive oral pregabalin, paracetamol, and etoricoxib if not contra-indicated. The anaesthetist performs a single-shot femoral nerve block or adductor canal block before anaesthesia. Surgeons deliver IPMI. The postoperative cocktail consists of pregabalin, paracetamol, etoricoxib, and tramadol. The new protocols have made a significant contribution to the improvement in postoperative patient recovery.\textsuperscript{24,25} Further studies will be conducted on the new perioperative analgesic protocol to confirm its efficacy.

There were limitations to this retrospective study, which compared two groups of patients with TKA performed during different periods of time. First, possible secular changes to patient expectations and pain assessment tools might have led to discordant outcomes when IPMI was evaluated. Second, the pain scale did not focus separately on rest pain and motion pain. Third, although the data were selectively verified by the author, there might have been errors in data extraction and coding of other data. Last but not least, because TKAs were performed by more than one surgeon, it was difficult to standardise the intra-operative soft-tissue tension and balancing and the injection technique of IPMI. If the knee was made too tight or IPMI missed the quadriceps tendon, the patient would experience greater postoperative pain.

In conclusion, IPMI effectively decreases parenteral morphine consumption for up to 42 hours following TKA in patients with OA of the knee.
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