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ABSTRACT

Objective: There are currently no local data on the sperm retrieval and pregnancy rates in in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction cycles, especially with regard to the presence of genetic abnormalities. This study aimed to determine the sperm retrieval and pregnancy rates in infertile couples who underwent in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction for non-obstructive azoospermia.

Methods: This retrospective case series was conducted at a tertiary assisted reproduction unit in Hong Kong. Men with non-obstructive azoospermia who underwent in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction between January 2001 and December 2013 were included. The main outcome measures were sperm retrieval and pregnancy rates.

Results: During the study period, 89 men with non-obstructive azoospermia underwent in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction. Sperm was successfully retrieved in 40 (44.9%) men. There was no statistically significant difference in the sperm retrieval rate of those with karyotypic abnormalities (2/5, 40.0% vs 28/61, 45.9%; P=1.000) and AZFc microdeletion (3/6, 50.0% vs 28/61, 45.9%; P=1.000) compared with those without. Sperms were successfully retrieved in patients who had mosaic Klinefelter syndrome (2/3, 66.7%) but not in the patient with non-mosaic Klinefelter syndrome. No sperms were found in men with AZFa or AZFb microdeletions. Pregnancy test was positive in 15 (16.9%) patients and the clinical pregnancy rate was 13.5% (12/89) per cycle. The clinical pregnancy rate per transfer was 34.3% (12/35).

Conclusions: The sperm retrieval rate and clinical pregnancy rate per initiated cycle in men undergoing in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction in our unit were 44.9% and 13.5%, respectively. No sperms could be retrieved in the presence of AZFa and AZFb microdeletions, but karyotype and AZFc microdeletion abnormalities otherwise did not predict the success of sperm retrieval in couples undergoing in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction. Genetic tests are important prior to testicular sperm extraction for patient selection and genetic counselling.
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New knowledge added by this study

• Our study provides important local data for counselling of men with non-obstructive azoospermia. The sperm retrieval rate and clinical pregnancy rate per cycle in men undergoing in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction in our unit were 44.9% and 13.5%, respectively.

• There was no statistically significant difference in the sperm retrieval and pregnancy rates in those with karyotypic abnormalities and AZFc microdeletion compared with those without. Sperms, however, were not found in men with AZFa or AZFb microdeletions.

Implications for clinical practice or policy

• Although karyotype abnormalities and AZFc microdeletion did not affect the sperm retrieval and pregnancy rates in couples undergoing in-vitro fertilisation and testicular sperm extraction, karyotype and Y-microdeletion should be checked in men with non-obstructive azoospermia. The risk of vertical transmission of genetic abnormalities should be discussed and couples should be offered appropriate genetic counselling before treatment.
**Introduction**

Male-factor infertility is involved in about half of all infertile couples who seek assisted reproduction treatment. The advent of in-vitro fertilisation (IVF) with intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) has allowed many men with severe male factor to have their own genetic child. The development of surgical sperm retrieval techniques by testicular sperm extraction (TESE) has extended the possibility of fatherhood to those with non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA).

The reported sperm retrieval rate from TESE varies in different studies due to inclusion of different populations, but is generally quoted to be around 50%. Nevertheless TESE is invasive. In a recent retrospective cohort study by Vloeberghs et al, only one (14.3%) out of seven men undergoing IVF-TESE eventually became a biological father. Studies have also shown a high prevalence of chromosomal abnormalities and Y-microdeletion in infertile men with NOA or severe oligozoospermia. These genetic abnormalities can potentially be transmitted vertically resulting in a child with sex aneuploidies. Genetic abnormalities can potentially be transmitted vertically resulting in a child with sex aneuploidies.

**Methods**

The study was a retrospective analysis of couples who underwent the first IVF cycle and required TESE for NOA at Queen Mary Hospital, a university affiliated tertiary care hospital, from January 2001 to December 2013. They were identified from our assisted reproductive technique database. Ethics approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong / Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster, with the requirement of patient informed consent waived because of its retrospective nature.

Husbands who attended our subfertility clinic were requested to submit one semen sample to the andrology laboratory of our centre prior to the first consultation. If the first semen analysis was abnormal, they were asked to submit a second semen sample. Semen analysis was based on the criteria of the World Health Organization (1999, 2010). Those with azoospermia confirmed in two semen samples following centrifugation were referred to the male infertility clinic for further assessment by urologists. All patients had detailed urological and reproductive history, physical examination, and hormonal profile including morning serum testosterone, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), and luteinising hormone. Men with azoospermia deemed to be due to a non-obstructive cause, as suggested by raised FSH and small testes, were advised to check their karyotype and microdeletion of the Y chromosome. The detailed techniques for chromosome analysis and Y-microdeletion studies by polymerase chain reaction of DNA from peripheral blood have been previously described. Karyotyping was performed by analysis of banded metaphase chromosomes from cultured cells. At least 15 and 30 metaphases were analysed routinely and whenever an anomaly was suspected, respectively. Y-microdeletion was analysed using six Y chromosome specific sequence tagged site markers that corresponded to the AZFa (sY84, sY86), AZFb (sY127, sY132), and AZFc (sY254, sY255) regions. Information on karyotype and microdeletion was requested to submit one semen sample to the andrology laboratory of our centre prior to the first consultation.

If the first semen analysis was abnormal, they were asked to submit a second semen sample. Semen analysis was based on the criteria of the World Health Organization (1999, 2010). Those with azoospermia confirmed in two semen samples following centrifugation were referred to the male infertility clinic for further assessment by urologists. All patients had detailed urological and reproductive history, physical examination, and hormonal profile including morning serum testosterone, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), and luteinising hormone. Men with azoospermia deemed to be due to a non-obstructive cause, as suggested by raised FSH and small testes, were advised to check their karyotype and microdeletion of the Y chromosome. The detailed techniques for chromosome analysis and Y-microdeletion studies by polymerase chain reaction of DNA from peripheral blood have been previously described. Karyotyping was performed by analysis of banded metaphase chromosomes from cultured cells. At least 15 and 30 metaphases were analysed routinely and whenever an anomaly was suspected, respectively. Y-microdeletion was analysed using six Y chromosome specific sequence tagged site markers that corresponded to the AZFa (sY84, sY86), AZFb (sY127, sY132), and AZFc (sY254, sY255) regions. Information on karyotype and
Y-microdeletion was obtained from the medical record of the patients, and cross-referenced with the database of the genetic screening for male subfertility at Tsan Yuk Hospital.

Men with NOA who wished to have their own genetic child would be advised to undergo TESE to retrieve sperms. The most common ovarian stimulation protocols used in our unit were the long gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist and GnRH antagonist protocols. Details of the stimulation cycle have previously been described.19 Patients attended the clinic on day 2 of the treatment cycle. Transvaginal ultrasonography was performed to determine antral follicle count (AFC) and serum oestradiol level was checked. Ovarian stimulation was commenced if the serum oestradiol level was confirmed at basal level and there was no ovarian cyst. The gonadotropin dosage depended on the woman’s age, AFC, and previous ovarian response. Transvaginal ultrasound for follicular tracking was performed 7 days after the start of ovarian stimulation and every 1 to 3 days thereafter. Further dosage of gonadotropins was titrated depending on the ovarian response of the patient during follicular tracking. Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) was given to trigger final oocyte maturation when the mean diameter of the leading follicle was at least 18 mm and three or more follicles reached a mean diameter of at least 16 mm. Transvaginal ultrasound-guided oocyte retrieval was performed 34 to 36 hours later.

The urologist was responsible for performing TESE that was performed under general anaesthesia on the day of oocyte retrieval. In conventional TESE, the scrotal skin and tunica vaginalis were opened and the testis was exposed through an incision. Bilateral testicular biopsies from the upper, middle, and lower poles were performed. Microdissection TESE, which involved identification of spermatogenically active areas under high magnification for more targeted biopsies, was performed starting from 2010. The biopsied testicular tissue was minced mechanically with two microscope slides, and incubated for 1 hour to allow the sperms to swim out of the tissue. Enzymatic digestion of the testicular tissue was performed as described when no sperms were seen under microscope.20 The testicular sperms were isolated by mini-density gradient centrifugation. Sperms with high density at the bottom of the gradient as well as those in the interface between the gradients were collected in two aliquots of culture medium. Before ICSI, the embryologist searched the sperms first in the whole aliquot containing sperms of high density, and then in the aliquot containing sperms at the interface if no sperm was found in the first aliquot. Spare sperms were cryopreserved. Fertilisation was achieved by ICSI in patients with successful sperm retrieval. One or two embryos were replaced 2 days after retrieval. Luteal phase support was given with either two doses of hCG 1500 IU 5 days apart or vaginal progesterone for 2 weeks after embryo transfer. Patients were followed up with a urinary pregnancy test 16 days after embryo transfer and those with a positive pregnancy test had transvaginal ultrasound scan performed 10 to 14 days later and were referred for antenatal care at 8 to 10 weeks of gestation. Pregnancy outcome was monitored. Pregnancy was defined as a positive urinary pregnancy test. A clinical pregnancy was defined as a pregnancy with the presence of one or more intrauterine sac on transvaginal ultrasound. An ongoing pregnancy was defined as the presence of at least one fetal heart pulsation on ultrasound beyond 20 weeks. When no sperms were retrieved, the collected oocytes were either discarded, donated, or frozen if further treatment with donor sperm was considered.

Data analyses were performed by the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Windows version 20.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago [IL], US). Comparisons between the groups were made using the Fisher’s exact test, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Only information from the first cycle of IVF-TESE was included. Of 112 men who underwent TESE during the study period, 23 were excluded from analysis because of non-motile sperms in the ejaculate (n=3), ejaculatory dysfunction (n=4), and obstructive azoospermia and underwent TESE after failed microepididymal sperm aspiration (n=16). Therefore, 89 patients with NOA were included in the analysis.

The mean age of the men was 37.2 (standard deviation [SD], 6.2) years. Of the 85 patients with smoking history available, 59 (69.4%) were non-smokers and 26 (30.6%) were smokers. Genetic information was missing in nine men. Of 76 men with genetic information available, eight (10.5%) had karyotypic abnormality—six were sex chromosomal and two were autosomal, as shown in Table 1. The most common sex chromosomal abnormality was Klinefelter syndrome (3/6, 50%; 1 non-mosaic and 2 mosaic). The two men with autosomal chromosome abnormality were a ring chromosome 21 and a mosaic supernumerary marker chromosome. Of these 76 men, nine (11.8%) had microdeletion of the Y chromosome. The most common Y-microdeletion was AZFc microdeletion (6/9, 66.7%). Three men had both chromosomal abnormality and Y-microdeletion.

Of the 89 patients, sperms were successfully retrieved in 40 (44.9%). There was no statistically significant difference in the sperm retrieval rate in those with karyotype abnormalities and AFZc
microdeletion compared with those without (Table 2). The same was true when those with sex chromosomal abnormality were compared with those having normal karyotype. Sperms were retrieved in the two patients with mosaic Klinefelter syndrome but not the one with non-mosaic Klinefelter syndrome. For those with Y-microdeletion, sperms were retrieved in 50% (3/6) with AZFc microdeletions, but no sperms were found in the men with AZFa+b+c and AZFb+c microdeletions. The men with AZFa+b+c and AZFb+c microdeletions all had co-existing sex chromosomal abnormalities (Table 1).

The mean age of the female partners was 37.2 (SD, 6.2) years. The median dosage of gonadotropins used was 1950 IU (interquartile range, 1650-2550 IU), duration of stimulation 12 days (11-14 days), peak oestradiol level 11 341 pmol/L (7859.5-19 260.5 pmol/L), and the number of metaphase II oocytes obtained was 8 (4-13). Pregnancy test was positive in 15/89 (16.9%) and the clinical pregnancy rate was 12/89 (13.5%). Among those with sperms found, pregnancy test was positive in 15/40 (37.5%) and the clinical pregnancy rate was 12/40 (30.0%). Clinical pregnancy rate per transfer was 12/35 (34.3%). Two patients had biochemical pregnancy and one had ectopic pregnancy. There were 10 live births—seven singletons and three pairs of twins. One patient underwent second-trimester medical termination of pregnancy for fetal alobar holoprosencephaly. One had an ongoing pregnancy at 12 weeks but was subsequently lost to follow-up. The ongoing pregnancy rate per cycle was 10/89 (11.2%). The clinical pregnancy rate was not statistically different between those who had karyotypic abnormalities (0/5, 0% vs 8/61, 13.1%; P=1.000) and Y-microdeletion (1/6, 16.7% vs 8/61, 13.1%; P=1.000) compared with those who did not. Among the five patients who did not have embryo transfer, two had failed fertilisation, two had no transferrable embryos, and one had no oocyte retrieved.
Discussion
Ho et al17 highlighted the importance of male factor in infertility assessment and treatment in a recent case series in a local male infertility clinic. The incidence of azoospermia was reported to be up to 36.2%, of which 52.1% had a non-obstructive cause and would require TESE.17 With increasing awareness of male infertility, it is hoped that more men will seek professional help and share the burden in fertility treatment. Nevertheless, existing data available in the literature on outcomes of IVF-TESE are fragmentary2 and local data are still lacking.

Karyotypic abnormalities and Y-microdeletion have been associated with severe male factor infertility. Fu et al21 demonstrated high rates of chromosomal abnormalities and Y chromosome microdeletions in Chinese infertile men with azoospermia or severe oligozoospermia. In another local study from our centre, the prevalence of chromosomal abnormality and Y-microdeletion were up to 21.1% and 8.5%, respectively in the azoospermic group.8 The prevalence of sex chromosomal abnormality and Y-microdeletion in the present study was 6/76 (7.9%) and 9/76 (11.8%), respectively; the former was lower than that reported by our centre previously. This may be because we only included men who had TESE performed in the current study, and it is possible that some men, particularly those who were found to have genetic abnormality, did not further pursue assisted reproductive treatment considering the anticipated poor prognosis.

Testing for chromosomal abnormalities and Y-microdeletion are recommended as an essential part of the workup of men with NOA or severe oligozoospermia by the American Society for Reproductive Medicine,13 American Urological Association,12 Canadian Urological Association,11 and International Federation of Fertility Societies guidelines.14 In particular, microdeletion of the AZFa or AZFb regions were associated with poor prognosis of sperm retrieval and no sperms have been retrieved in these patients.22 Although our study did not show any statistically significant difference in the sperm retrieval rates in those with karyotype abnormalities and Y-microdeletion compared with those without, it should be noted that all patients who had sperms retrieved were having AZFc microdeletion consistent with existing reports, and those who had AZFabc and AZFbdc did not have sperms retrieved. Nonetheless the three men with AZFabc and AZFbdc microdeletions all had co-existing sex chromosomal abnormalities that may also have affected spermatogenesis. Men with AZFa or AZFb microdeletion are therefore often advised against TESE because of a very low chance of successful retrieval of mature spermatozoa. Given proper counselling, these patients may opt not to pursue further assisted reproductive techniques, and go directly for options including donor insemination or adoption. On the other hand, the majority of men with AZFc microdeletion have sperm available for use. In some studies, AZFc deletion was even associated with an increased likelihood of sperm retrieval.22 The sperm retrieval rate in men with Klinefelter syndrome via microdissection TESE has also been reported to be similar or even higher than in those with NOA and normal karyotype.25-27

In our experience, sperms were only found in the two patients with mosaic Klinefelter syndrome but not the one with non-mosaic Klinefelter syndrome. Previous studies showed that sperms are more likely to be retrieved in younger men with Klinefelter syndrome.26,27 In our study, the man with non-mosaic Klinefelter syndrome was 42 years old and might have passed the window of opportunity for successful sperm retrieval. The two patients with mosaic Klinefelter syndrome were 34 and 47 years old, respectively.

This study provides important information on the prognosis for men with NOA. The sperm retrieval rate of 44.9% is very similar to a previous report at our centre where sperms were found in 12/26 (46.2%) of men.28 In that study, the pregnancy rate was 14.3% per cycle when spermatozoa were injected. Our study showed that the chance for a man with NOA undergoing TESE to father his own child is 13.5%, very similar to that of 13.4% reported by Vloeberghs et al.3 Indeed, Vloeberghs et al5 have only included men with normal karyotype and absence of Y-microdeletion, who were included in our study, and we only included men who underwent first cycle of IVF-TESE. The clinical pregnancy rate would have been higher if we also included men who underwent further attempts. Nonetheless, men were generally advised against further TESE after failure to retrieve sperms in the first attempt owing to the poor prognosis.

Another important issue is the potential for the genetic abnormality to be transmitted vertically via assisted reproductive technology. Couples wherein the male partner has Y-microdeletion should be counselled about the potential for inheritance of compromised fertility by male offspring and proper genetic counselling should be in place before embarking on IVF-TESE.

The practice of our centre was synchronous TESE on the day of oocyte retrieval. Several studies have shown comparable fertilisation and pregnancy rates when TESE is performed beforehand, either on the day before oocyte retrieval29 or even prior to initiation of controlled ovarian hyperstimulation.30 The merit of the latter approach is that women do not have to go through controlled ovarian stimulation if no sperms can be retrieved, and therefore can avoid the risks of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome and
the costs involved. The available cryopreservation-thawing procedure for sperms leads to sperm loss however, and there is still a possibility that the cycle will have to be cancelled if there are no viable sperms after thawing.

As 50% of couples undergo IVF-TESE in vain, with resulting psychological and financial implications, research into various factors that could predict successful sperm retrieval is important. Previous findings from our retrospective study did not suggest any significant differences in the age, history of mumps or orchitis/oligozoospermia, volume of both testes, serum FSH and testosterone levels in men with or without spermatozoa in IVF-TESE cycles.28 Indeed, no individual biochemical or hormonal marker has been found to reliably predict success in TESE.31 Although histopathology of testicular biopsy has been shown to predict TESE outcome, it is invasive and usually done at the time of TESE itself rather than beforehand in many patients, limiting its role as a predictive marker.31 The detection of Y chromosome microdeletion, especially AZFa and AZFb, was important to guide prognosis as discussed above. Ramasamy et al32 showed that high serum FSH level in men did not affect the success of microdissection TESE and should not be used to deny men the possibility to father a child with their own genetic material.14 Similarly, testicular size may not consistently predict sperm retrieval rate.31 In a meta-analysis, serum inhibin B had a sensitivity of 0.65 and a specificity of 0.83 in prediction of successful TESE but it was still suboptimal as a single predictive criterion.31 Seminal anti-Müllerian hormone and inhibin B are secreted by the Sertoli cells into the seminiferous tubules and therefore in theory are more direct markers of spermatogenesis, but their predictive value for successful TESE was not confirmed in a prospective study of 139 men with NOA by Mitchell et al.34 A combination of factors have been shown to fare better, and authors have described the use of a predictive score involving the total testicular volume, FSH, and inhibin B to predict the sperm retrieval rate in NOA.35

There are several limitations to our study. The number of men who declined genetic testing or TESE was not known. These may be those with anticipated poor prognosis such that our study has included those with ‘better’ prognosis and therefore a higher sperm retrieval rate. In addition, while some authors suggest that hormonal profile or testicular volume may have provided prognostic information, our information on hormonal profile of men was incomplete. Prior to TESE, FSH might have been checked up to 2 years because of the long waiting list for IVF, and therefore was not analysed in this study. Other important limitations are the small number of cases and retrospective nature of our study that precludes proper statistical analysis. When pregnancy outcome is analysed, it is essential to remember the other confounding variables of the female partner such as age and diagnostic category that limit the conclusions that can be drawn. Moreover, as the study spanned over 13 years, there have been changes such as surgical techniques. Men included in the earlier years underwent TESE while those more recently underwent microdissection TESE. Further studies looking into different prognostic factors to predict successful sperm retrieval are needed.

Conclusions

The sperm retrieval rate and clinical pregnancy rate per cycle in men undergoing IVF-ICSI-TESE in our unit were 44.9% and 13.5%, respectively. Karyotype and AZFc microdeletion abnormalities did not predict the success of sperm retrieval or clinical pregnancy rate in couples undergoing IVF-ICSI-TESE in the present case series, but are important in patient counselling. Consistent with existing literature, no sperms could be retrieved in individuals with AZFa and AZFb microdeletions.
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