Acute interstitial nephritis is a common cause of acute kidney injury. Acute interstitial nephritis is most commonly induced by drug although the cause may also be infective, autoimmune, or idiopathic. Although eosinophilia and eosinophiluria may help identify this disease entity, the gold standard for diagnosis remains renal biopsy. Prompt diagnosis is important because discontinuation of the culprit drugs can reduce further kidney injury. We present a patient with an underlying psychiatric disorder who was subsequently diagnosed with clozapine-induced acute interstitial nephritis. Monitoring of renal function during clozapine therapy is recommended for early recognition of this rare side-effect.

**Case report**

A 29-year-old woman with a known history of bipolar affective disorder and paranoid schizophrenia admitted to our hospital because of relapse of psychiatric symptoms in June 2011. She had no significant medical illness. Her medication included quetiapine fumarate and sodium valproate but was changed to haloperidol decanoate depot injection, trihexyphenidyl, and clozapine following hospitalisation. Clozapine was commenced at 50 mg once per day and gradually stepped up to 400 mg once per day. One week later she developed fever but had no respiratory or urinary symptoms. She remained conscious and alert with stable vital signs. Physical examination revealed no significant abnormality and preliminary investigations showed normochromic and normocytic anaemia with haemoglobin level of 87 g/L, white cell count of 11.8 x 10^9/L (eosinophils 15.1%; absolute count 2.3 x 10^9/L), and serum creatinine of 229 μmol/L (baseline serum creatinine 1 week ago, 39 μmol/L). Her liver enzymes, lactate dehydrogenase, and haptoglobin were all within normal range. Chest radiograph showed clear lung fields. She was empirically given amoxicillin/clavulanic acid but treatment was complicated by gastro-intestinal side-effects such as vomiting and diarrhoea. The antibiotics were stopped immediately and the symptoms subsided. Clozapine was further titrated up to 700 mg once per day with consequent improvement in her mental state. Nonetheless, fever persisted with further deterioration in renal function (serum creatinine rose to 356 μmol/L). 24-hour urine protein was 1.18 g. Ultrasound of the urinary system showed normal-sized kidneys with no hydronephrosis. Ultrasound-guided renal biopsy was performed and the histology showed features of tubulointerstitial nephritis with eosinophil-rich interstitial infiltrates and occasional granulomas (Fig). The likely diagnosis was drug-induced acute interstitial nephritis (AIN). Clozapine was withheld, fever subsided afterwards and renal function gradually returned to normal 4 weeks following cessation of clozapine.

**Discussion**

Drug-induced AIN is not uncommon. Common nephrotoxic drugs include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and antibiotics such as aminoglycosides and vancomycin. Although amoxicillin/clavulanic acid remains a possible culprit in this case for AIN, the short duration of amoxicillin/clavulanic acid use and sequence of events are more suggestive of clozapine-induced AIN.

Acute interstitial nephritis is defined as an immune-mediated condition characterised by the presence of inflammation and oedema in the tubulointerstitium of the kidneys. It accounts for 15% to 27% of biopsy-proven acute kidney injury. Acute interstitial nephritis is most commonly drug-induced although the cause may also be infective, autoimmune, or idiopathic. The classic triad—fever, skin rash, and eosinophilia—is only
present in 5% to 10% of patients with AIN. As a result, a high level of clinical suspicion is essential since around 40% of patients with AIN eventually require dialysis. A detailed drug history, especially herbal medicine which is common in our locality, recreational drugs and radio-contrast, are important for diagnosis. Other history including water exposure and animal contact (pets or stray animals) can help exclude or confirm several infective causes such as Legionnaires’ disease and leptospirosis. Systemic manifestations of vasculitis should also be specifically looked for during physical examination.

There are no specific signs and symptoms to differentiate drug-induced AIN from other causes of AIN. Fever is present in around 30% of patients with drug-induced AIN and it typically occurs within 2 weeks of initiation of a new drug. Skin rash, usually of morbilliform or maculopapular appearance, is found in only 15% to 50% of patients, depending on the type of medication. One retrospective review showed that around 45% of patients have arthralgia, and 15% and 21% of patients have dysuria and loin pain, respectively. Eosinophilia is thought to have great diagnostic value in drug-induced AIN as it signifies a hypersensitivity reaction although the degree and frequency of eosinophilia vary with different medications. Methicillin-induced AIN is associated with eosinophilia in 80% of cases while AIN due to NSAID is less commonly associated with eosinophilia, which is only around 35% of cases. However, the presence of eosinophilia can also be found in infections, especially those caused by helminths, allergic diseases such as asthma and eczema, and even malignant conditions such as lymphoma and carcinoma of the colon. Like eosinophilia, the presence of eosinophiluria is neither sensitive nor specific for drug-induced AIN. The sensitivity ranges from 63% to 91%, and specificity from 52% to 94%. Other conditions such as lower urinary tract infection, pyelonephritis, prostatitis, acute tubular necrosis, glomerulonephritis, and urinary schistosomiasis can also result in eosinophiluria. Other parameters from urine samples may also provide clues for drug-induced AIN. Proteinuria is present in 93% of patients but only 2.5% have a nephrotic range of proteinuria. Haematuria is usually microscopic and is present in 60% to 80% of cases while gross haematuria is only present in 5% of patients. Renal biopsy can confirm the diagnosis of AIN by demonstrating inflammation and oedema of the renal interstitium and tubulitis. The presence of a considerable number of eosinophils in the interstitium will point to a diagnosis of drug-induced AIN, while an abundance of neutrophils is suggestive of an infective cause.

As drug-induced AIN is idiosyncratic and not dose-dependent, the mainstay of treatment is cessation of the causative agents. Nonetheless, not all patients experience complete recovery despite withdrawal of the index medication. Neither the severity of renal failure, the extent of interstitial involvement (including fibrosis), nor the severity of tubulitis predicts the clinical outcome. More established prognostic factors are the duration of renal failure and creatinine level 6 to 8 weeks after diagnosis. Some studies advocate the use of corticosteroid to hasten recovery and prevent progression to chronic kidney disease but these studies have usually been small and retrospective.

Since 1999, 10 cases of clozapine-induced AIN have been described. Among these patients, the ages ranged from 24 to 69 years, and six were male.
In patients who presented within 2 weeks of commencing clozapine, 80% exhibited a hypersensitivity reaction. In those who presented late, symptoms developed up to 3 months after starting clozapine. Fever was present in 80% but, surprisingly, none reported skin rash or arthralgia. Proteinuria was detected in 80% of cases, but only four patients had red blood cells in the urine. Eosinophilia was present in half of the patients only. Four patients had the diagnosis of AIN confirmed by histology. A phenomenon is observed wherein the effect of clozapine on the kidney can be potentiated by the concomitant use of antibiotics, especially those that are known to have higher risks of interstitial damage, such as the penicillin derivatives. In our patient, the temporal relationship between the initiation of clozapine and the development of acute kidney injury matched the time frame described in the literature. In addition, the presence of fever, eosinophilia, and eosinophiluria also raised the possibility of clozapine-induced AIN, subsequently confirmed by histology. The renal function of our patient also improved spontaneously after removing the index medication.

In conclusion, this case highlights the rare but important potential side-effect of clozapine. In addition to monitoring cell counts, regular monitoring of renal function is recommended after initiation of clozapine. Early involvement of nephrologists can provide early recognition of this entity with prompt investigation and treatment.
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