Characterisation of novel anti-HIV/tuberculosis natural product analogues

ZW Chen *, G Liu, XF Lu, L Liu, X Zhang, TCK Lau, SKW Tsui, YX Kang, PR Zheng, BJ Zheng

KEY MESSAGES

- 1. 10-chloromethyl-11-demethly-12-oxocalanolide A (F18) is an effective antiretroviral compound *in vitro*.
- 2. F18 remains effective against HIV-1 strains that contain various mutations rendering them resistant to non-nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors.

Hong Kong Med J 2015;21(Suppl 7):S14-7 RFCID project number: 09080772 ¹ ZW Chen, ^{2,5} G Liu, ¹ XF Lu, ¹ L Liu, ² X Zhang, ³ TCK Lau, ⁴ SKW Tsui, ¹ YX Kang, ² PR Zheng, ¹ BJ Zheng

- ¹ AIDS Institute, Department of Microbiology and Research Centre for Infection and Immunity, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong
- ² Tsinghua-Peking Center for Life Sciences and Department of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Medicine, Tsinghua University, China
- ³ Department of Biology and Chemistry, City University of Hong Kong
 ⁴ School of Biomedical Sciences and Centre for Microbial Genomics and
- Proteomics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
 ⁵ Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China
- * Principal applicant and corresponding author: zchenai@hku.hk

Introduction

Non-nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) are one of the key components of antiretroviral drug regimens against human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) replication. (+)-calanolide A, which is purified from the rainforest plant *Calophyllum*, is an anti-HIV-1/ tuberculosis drug. In our previous study, a library of (+)-calanolide A was constructed and one novel compound 10-chloromethyl-11- demethly-12-oxo-calanolide A (F18) with improved antiviral activity was identified. This study investigated antiviral breadth, drug-resistance profile, and underlying mechanism of action of F18.

Methods and results

This study was conducted from March 2010 to February 2012.

In vitro selection of F18-resistant virus

HTLV-1 transformed human T-cell leukaemia (MT-2) cells were infected with $10^4 \text{ TCID}_{50} \text{ HIV-1}_{\text{NL4-3}}$ wild-type virus and cultured in 2-fold increasing concentrations of F18 ranging from 0.14 nM to 20 μ M for 120 days. Virus replication was monitored based on syncytium formation. Ten resistant strains were selected (Table 1). Genotypic analysis showed that seven of ten variants contained a single mutation of amino acid Leu to Ile at position 100 in the RT gene. In addition, mutations of V292I, K103N, Y188H, V106I, T139R, and P225H also emerged in three other strains following F18 treatment.

To confirm their resistance and cross-

resistance profile, these ten variants were assessed in MT-2 cells in the presence of F18, nevirapine (NVP), efavirenz (EFV), and etravirine (ETR). All mutant viruses were resistant to F18 (Table 1). The mutant viruses with mutations L100I or Y188H retained high sensitivity to the other three NNRTIs. K103N-containing virus resulted in more than a 350-fold change in EC₅₀ to EFV and NVP, but was sensitive to ETR, whereas the HIV-1 variant with V106I/WT and T139R showed moderate resistance to EFV, NVP, and ETR. Therefore, F18-resistant HIV-1 mutations occurred in *in vitro* long-term culture of MT-2 cells infected with wild-type virus in the presence of F18, and these mutations conferred some cross-resistance to other NNRTIs.

Antiviral activity of F18 against NNRTIresistant pseudovirus

To confirm the relevance of these in vitro-induced resistant mutations, a panel of seven NNRTIresistant site-directed mutagenesis (SDM)generated pseudoviruses for a GHOST (3)-CCR5 established. Mutations cell-based assay was that were induced by in vitro F18 selection were engineered into a clean HIV-1 $_{\rm NL4-3R-E-Luc}+$ pseudovirus backbone by SDM. Sensitivity of these mutant viruses was determined by infection with GHOST (3)-CCR5 cells in the presence of F18, NVP or (+)-calanolide A, and by measuring the degree of inhibition compared with infection with a wildtype virus (Fig 1a). Virus constructed with the minor Y188H mutation displayed a >100-fold higher level of resistance to F18 or (+)-calanolide A, compared with NVP, whereas virus containing the dominant

Strain No. (No. of days in cell culture)	F18 concentration at which the selection initiated and the resistant strain selected	Mutation(s) in HIV-1 RT*	Fold change†			
			F18	NVP	EFV	ETR
1,4,6,7,8,9,10						
0	0.14 nM					
84	312 nM	L100I				
128	20 µM	<u>L100I</u>	>128	1.67	2.05	1.37
2						
0	0.14 nM					
91	625 nM	Y188H/WT				
105	2.5 µM	Y188H				
128	20 µM	Y188H	>128	3.75	0.004	0.34
3						
0	0.14 nM					
98	1.25 µM	T139R/WT				
120	10 µM	V106I/WT, T139R				
128	20 µM	V106I/WT, T139R	121	36.5	18.06	20.15
5						
0	0.28 nM					
91	1.25 µM	P225H				
98	2.5 µM	K103N/WT, P225H/WT				
105	5 µM	K103N				
112	10 µM	K103N, P225H/WT				
120	20 µM	K103N, P225H/WT, V292I	>128	>357	568.45	2.79

TABLE I. Selection scheme and genotypic analysis of the reverse transcriptase of mutant HIV-I strains that emerged under doseescalating treatment of HIV-I $_{NL4-3}$ wild-type virus with F18

* The complete protease and reverse transcriptase genes were sequenced. The predominant mutation is underlined and in bold Calculated as the ratio between the EC_{50} of the compound for the mutant strain and the HIV-I _{NL4-3} wild-type strain obtained in the + same experiment at the end of culture. EC_{s_0} of F18, NVP, EFV, and ETR against HIV-1 wild-type virus is 41.0±2.0 nM, 42.0±1.7 nM, 0.1±0.003 nM, and 0.1±0.002 nM, respectively. Each result is the mean for a single experiment conducted in triplicate

in vitro resulted in a 30- and 10-fold change in $\mathrm{EC}_{\scriptscriptstyle 50}$ to F18 and (+)-calanolide A, respectively (Table 1). NVP susceptibility was noted with L100I mutant viruses. The novel mutation T139R found by F18 selection in vitro showed cross-resistance to all three compounds NVP, F18, and (+)-calanolide A. To a lesser extent, viruses with K101E and K103N mutations also displayed cross-resistance to F18, NVP, and (+)-calanolide A. Interestingly, virus with double mutations K103N and P225H exhibited high resistance to NVP with more than a 100-fold change in EC₅₀, but resistance to F18 and (+)-calanolide A was abolished when compared with the single mutation K103N.

As cross-resistant mutations may exist among various NNRTIs, susceptibility of ten additional HIV-1 NNRTI-resistant SDMs to F18, NVP, and (+)-calanolide A, alone or in combination, was determined (Fig 1b). These mutations were previously reported based on the current NNRTIs

mutation (7 of out 10 strains) L100I induced by F18 in clinical use. One of the most prevalent mutations among antiretrovial-therapy-experienced patients was Y181C, and virus with this mutation was sensitive to F18 with an EC_{50} of 1.0 nM and a reduced susceptibility to NVP for more than a 100fold difference in EC_{50} . Double mutant with K103N/ Y181C, Y188L and V106A/G190A/F227L mutants were cross-resistant to the antiviral effects of the three NNRTIs.

Antiviral activity of F18 in combination with some US-FDA-approved antiretrovirals

Given the unique antiviral features of F18, its efficacy when used in combination with each of eight US-FDA-approved drugs using PBMC assays was determined. There were no antagonistic effects for any combinations of F18 plus another drug against HIV- 1_{NL4-3} infected PBMCs (Table 2). Most combinations showed a slightly or highly synergistic effect as calculated by the Prichard and Shipman MacSynergy II software.



* EC₅₀ is not reached at the concentration tested

Discussion

One of the major obstacles to the development of NNRTIs is the extensive cross-resistance within this class of antiretrovirals. F18 displayed a unique profile of cross-resistance. In contrast to NVP, F18 remained effective against HIV-1 SDMs containing NNTRI-resistant mutations T139I, Y181C, V179D, V106A, K103N/P225H, and K103N/Y181C/G190A. From our F18-resistance induction study, F18 did not readily induce NVP-resistant or (+)-calanolide A-resistant viruses, but the resultant dominant L100I mutation attributed to F18-resistance, which is similar to another (+)-calanolide A stereoisomer–dihydrocostatolide.¹ This finding suggests that F18 may function more similarly to dihydrocostatolide

than (+)-calanolide A. In addition, due to structural similarity, F18 and (+)-calanolide A shared a similar profile against most NNRTI-cross resistant viruses (Fig). These two drugs also displayed their unique characteristics. For example, F18 was a potent inhibitor against (+)-calanolide A-induced T139I mutant. Conversely, (+)-calanolide A inhibited F18-induced L100I mutant more effectively. These results suggest that the major binding moiety of F18 engages HIV-1 RT at a different binding motif towards L100 when compared with T139 usage of (+)-calanolide A. In addition, most of the resistant viruses including L100I selected by F18 can be inhibited by NVP, EFV, and ETR, indicating a low level of cross-resistance between F18 and these three

,			
Drug	Mean synergy volume*	Antiviral effect	
Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors			
Zidovudine	87.62	Slightly synergistic	
Lamivudine	17.32	Additive	
Stavudine	27.71	Additive	
Didanosine	297.47	Highly synergistic	
Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors			
Nevirapine	73.1	Slightly synergistic	
Efavirenz	4.01	Additive	
Protease inhibitors			
Nelfinavir	116.85	Highly synergistic	
Integrase inhibitors			
Raltegravir	54.12	Slightly synergistic	

TABLE 2. Antiviral effect of F18 in combination with eight US-FDA-approved antiretroviral compounds against HIV-1 NIL4-3 in PBMC assays

* Positive values represent the synergistic interaction between F18 and other antiretroviral drugs

that the drug-resistance profile of F18 is distinctly different from that of other NNRTIs,²⁻⁴ possibly due to a distinct binding motif of F18 to HIV-1 RT that differs to other NNRTIs.

Since the discovery of NNRTIs, combination therapy of at least three antiretrovirals has become the gold standard for clinical treatment of HIV-1 patients in the last 20 years. To avoid issues of drugdrug interaction, we tested the combined anti-HIV activity of F18 with each of eight commonly used antiretrovirals. As a natural product-derived small molecule, F18 had no antagonistic effect when used in two-drug combination against both wild-type and drug-resistant viruses (Table 2). Interestingly, the lack of antagonistic effects between F18 and NVP or EFV provided further evidence that F18 does not share identical binding motifs to HIV-1 RT with either NNRTI.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Research Fund for the Control of Infectious Diseases, Food and Health Bureau, Hong Kong SAR Government (#09080772) and the 11th Five-Year Mega Project on the prevention and treatment of AIDS, viral hepatitis, and other infectious disease (2009ZX09501-012). We

FDA-approved NNRTIs (Table 1). We conclude thank the HKU-UDF and LSK Faculty of Medicine Matching Fund for financial supports to HKU AIDS Institute.

> Results of this study have been published in: Lu X, Liu L, Zhang X, et al. F18, a novel small-molecule nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, inhibits HIV-1 replication using distinct binding motifs as demonstrated by resistance selection and docking analysis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012;56:341-51.

References

- 1. Buckheit RW Jr, White EL, Fliakas-Boltz V, et al. Unique anti-human immunodeficiency virus activities of the nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors calanolide A, costatolide, and dihydrocostatolide. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999;43:1827-34.
- 2 Azijn H, Tirry I, Vingerhoets J, et al. TMC278, a nextgeneration nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), active against wild-type and NNRTI-resistant HIV-1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010;54:718-27.
- Richman DD, Havlir D, Corbeil J, et al. Nevirapine resistance mutations of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 selected during therapy. J Virol 1994;68:1660-6.
- 4. Vingerhoets J, Azijn H, Fransen E, et al. TMC125 displays a high genetic barrier to the development of resistance: evidence from in vitro selection experiments. J Virol 2005;79:12773-82.