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A B S T R A C T

The pathogenesis and management of lateral epicondylalgia, or tennis elbow, a common ailment affecting middle-aged subjects of both genders continue to provoke controversy. Currently it is thought to be due to local tendon pathology, pain system changes, and motor system impairment. Its diagnosis is usually clinical, based on a classical history, as well as symptoms and signs. In selected cases, additional imaging (X-rays, ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging) can help to confirm the diagnosis. Different treatment modalities have been described, including the use of orthotics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, steroid injections, topical glyceryl trinitrate, exercise therapy, manual therapy, ultrasound therapy, laser therapy, extracorporeal shockwave therapy, acupuncture, taping, platelet-rich plasma injections, hyaluronan gel injections, botulinum toxin injections, and surgery. Nevertheless, evidence to select the best treatment is lacking and the choice of therapy depends on the experience of the management team, availability of the equipment and expertise, and patient response. This article provides a snapshot of current medical practice for lateral epicondylalgia management.

Introduction

Tennis elbow is a diagnosis often heard in the community and usually associated with an uncomplicated clinical course. Surprisingly though, this minor self-limiting ailment is linked to much controversy with respect to nomenclature, pathophysiology, and management.

The term ‘tennis elbow’ was first used by Rungue in 1873.1 It also appeared in an 1883 paper by Major called ‘Lawn-tennis elbow’.2 The name tennis elbow itself is a misnomer as it appears to be at least as common in non-tennis players.3 In the literature there are many names used to describe the condition, including lateral epicondylalgia (LE), lateral epicondylitis, lateral epicondylosis, shooter’s elbow, archer’s elbow, and simply lateral elbow pain. In the remainder of this article, the name ‘lateral epicondylalgia’ will be used. By definition, LE is a degenerative tendinopathy characterised by pain at the lateral epicondyle, aggravated by resisted muscle contraction of the extensor carpi radialis brevis (ECRB).4 Studies in western countries usually report an annual incidence of 4 to 7 per 1000 inhabitants, and at any given time it is said to affect 1 to 3% of individuals in the general population.5 Men and women seem to be equally predisposed to and the age of onset is usually between 35 and 55 years. A literature search yielded no epidemiological data pertaining to Hong Kong, China, or other Asian countries.

The typical duration of symptoms is between 6 and 24 months; up to 90% of sufferers report recovery within 1 year. However, 5 to 10% patients develop chronic symptoms and eventually undergo invasive treatment such as surgery.

The injury is usually attributed to eccentric contractions of the ECRB during backhand tennis swings, which leads to repetitive microtrauma resulting in tears at its origin.6 Others suggest that direct trauma to the lateral aspect of the elbow, hypovascularity, and fluoroquinolone antibiotics may also be involved.7,8

It is common to believe that tennis players are those most commonly affected by this condition. However, any behaviour or activity associated with overuse of underused and atrophied tendons can lead to LE.9 Indeed, many LE patients are not tennis players but subjects who have been sedentary for years, and then suddenly begin exercising (gardening, decorating a room, caring and lifting a baby, carrying heavy luggage).

Pathophysiological model of lateral epicondylalgia

Three interactive components seem to play a part in its pathophysiology, namely: local tendon pathology, pain system changes, and motor system impairment.9,10 The pathological changes in the
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The classical description is pain at the lateral aspect of the elbow that often radiates down the forearm. The patient may recall a specific injury, but often the pain is gradual and of insidious onset. Weakness in grip or difficulty in carrying items in the hand is common and affects quality of life to a certain extent, depending on the severity of symptoms.

Clinical presentation, physical examination, and investigation

The classical description is pain at the lateral aspect of the elbow that often radiates down the forearm. The patient may recall a specific injury, but often the pain is gradual and of insidious onset. Weakness in grip or difficulty in carrying items in the hand is common and affects quality of life to a certain extent, depending on the severity of symptoms.

Physical examination should not be restricted to the affected elbow. Clinicians should begin with cervical spine, followed by entire upper limb, and careful examination of the shoulder. In the elbow, there will be tenderness at the lateral epicondy, slightly distal to the extensor mass. The specific test includes the Thomson manoeuvre, in which pain is elicited by resisted wrist extension with the elbow in full extension and the forearm in pronation. Several other provocative tests aid in the diagnosis of LE, including the Chair test, the Bowden test, Cozen's test, and Mill's test. These tests cause pain over the lateral epicondy by putting the ECRB in either eccentric contraction or passive tensioning. One should beware of radial nerve entrapment which affects 5% of LE patients, in which case pain may occur during resisted supination when the nerve is trapped within the supinator muscle. The middle finger extension test, resisted supination of the forearm, local anaesthetic radial tunnel block, the Rule-of-Nine test, and nerve conduction studies have all been described to help in the diagnosis of radial tunnel syndrome. However, diagnosis of radial nerve entrapment may be difficult when associated with LE. The elbow joint should also be checked for stability, range of movement, signs of arthritis, and joint effusion. Hand grip strength on the two sides has to be compared and the readings documented. The elbow is usually X-rayed to rule out other conditions. In about 25% of the patients, calcification is present in the soft tissues around the lateral epicondy. If USG is available, it can detect tendon pathology, while Doppler USG may
be able to demonstrate neovascularisation. Further investigations, such as magnetic resonance imaging, are usually unnecessary, unless there is serious concern about other articul pathologies.26

**Treatment and outcome measurement**

To date, a standardised, universally accepted programme for LE treatment has not been established. Nor is there a consensus as to what outcomes to measure, which makes comparison of different treatment modalities difficult, if not impossible. Common outcomes evaluated in the literature include pain gauged by a visual analogue scale, hand grip strength, and pain-free grip strength. One validated outcome evaluation tool is the Patient-rated Forearm Evaluation Questionnaire, which has been translated into a Hong Kong Chinese version.27 This questionnaire has been updated by the originator and is called the Patient-rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation.28 Since no treatment protocol has been scientifically shown to be superior to others, more than 40 different therapeutic options have been offered to LE patients. To a large extent therefore, the choice depends on experience, expertise, and equipment at any given clinic or centre. Although treatment plans for LE vary in different centres, patient education is usually one of the important core elements.

**Evidence about different treatment options**

**Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs**

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can reduce pain but do not improve long-term outcome, and certainly they have their well-known side-effects, including gastro-intestinal bleeding and impairment of renal function. There is a theoretical risk of impaired tendon healing, as inflammation is important for granulation tissue formation, collagen growth, and tendon repair. Topical NSAIDs have been claimed to be beneficial for pain relief in some small studies lasting up to 4 weeks.29 As mentioned in a recent Cochrane review, evidence about the benefits of oral NSAIDs has been conflicting and no direct comparisons between oral and topical NSAIDs are available.30 Although there is evidence that NSAIDs are more effective than placebo for pain control, it is insufficient to support their routine use in LE.

**Corticosteroid injection**

Corticosteroid injection is an effective short-term means of achieving pain relief. However, their use for the treatment of LE has been increasingly discouraged, partly because no long-term benefit accrues, and partly due to high recurrence rates. It is reported that 72% of patients treated with steroid injections experience a recurrence within 12 months, compared with 9% in those treated with a wait-and-see strategy.31 One recent study also demonstrated a recurrence rate as high as 34.7% in a steroid injection group.32 Another newly published randomised controlled trial shows that steroid injections result in lower rates of complete recovery compared to placebo and a greater 1-year recurrence rate.33 Theoretically, such injections can impair tendon healing, as inflammation is important for granulation tissue formation, collagen growth, and tendon repair.34 Hence, the use of corticosteroid injections for LE is a poor choice and should be avoided as far as possible even as initial treatment.

**Topical glyceryl trinitrate**

Interestingly, glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) can act as an agent to stimulate tendon healing. It is usually given as a GTN patch, stuck directly over the site of the LE (presumably for its psychological effect). Its side-effects include headache, dizziness, and skin irritation. In 2003, Paoloni et al35 reported a 21% greater effect in LE when GTN (1.25 mg/24 hours) was combined with exercise than with exercise alone. In 2009, the same investigators also reported a significant decrease in LE pain after 8 weeks of topical GTN (0.72 mg/24 hours).36 In 2011, McCallum et al37 followed up 58 patients treated for 6 months with topical GTN or placebo combined with a rehabilitation programme, but 5 years after discontinuation of therapy there was no difference in terms of pain and hand grip strength. These findings suggest that topical GTN did not offer additional long-term benefit.

**Exercise therapy**

Exercise is believed to stimulate tendon remodelling and produce muscular adaptive responses. Various resistance exercises have been prescribed to such patients, including isometric, isokinetic, and isotonic concentric or eccentric exercises. A recent systematic review38 including 10 studies of moderate quality and two studies of high quality supported the use of isotonic eccentric exercise for LE with moderate evidence of efficacy. It suggested that an eccentric exercise programme performed as three sets of 10 to 15 repetitions daily for about 6 to 12 weeks had the best supporting evidence as a means of reducing pain, improving function and pain-free grip strength, though optimal dosing was yet to be determined.39 A recent meta-analysis showed that stretching plus strengthening exercises give better results than ultrasound plus friction massage alone.39

**Manual therapy**

Deep transverse friction massage (DTFM) relies
on the theory of analgesia mediated via non-opioid descending pain inhibitory mechanisms. According to the Cochrane Library review, DTFM combined with other physiotherapy modalities was no better than physiotherapy alone for pain control, improvement of grip strength, and functionality.40

There are numerous manual therapy or manipulation techniques, variously named as Mulligan’s manual mobilisation with movement controlled trials of moderate-to-high quality found that Mulligan’s manual mobilisation with movement provides better outcomes, such as pain-free hand grip strength over the short and long term when compared to placebo or other treatments such as ultrasound with exercise.42

Taping
The use of taping in LE is equally controversial and no firm conclusions can be drawn at this moment. Vicenzino et al43 compared diamond-shaped taping over placebo and found significant improvement in the intervention group in terms of the pressure pain threshold. However, other benefits were not demonstrated.

Ultrasound therapy
Recourse to ultrasound is commonly offered to LE patients, especially in the initial phase as it is readily available in most physiotherapy centres and is safe. Lundeberg et al44 reported that compared to placebo the pain of LE patients was better 3 months after such treatment, but there was no difference in global improvement. One study compared ultrasound to acupuncture and found that both yielded improvements in all outcome measures, but there was no difference between the groups.45 Due to the paucity of high-quality trials at this time, it is difficult to draw any conclusion to support or refute the use of ultrasound in LE.

Extracorporeal shockwave therapy
Derived from lithotripsy, extracorporeal shockwave therapy (ESWT) has been applied in orthopaedic treatment since 1987. The principle is to use shockwave technology to dissolve calcified deposits in diseased tendons.46 Lasting analgesia in the treated region has also been observed. Achilles, quadriceps, triceps, and supraspinatus are common ‘head upwards’ tendinopathies treated with ESWT. However, it is known that calcification is rare in tendons that head downwards, such as those involved in LE. So why and how ESWT works in LE is unclear. The most accepted theory is that the microtrauma from repeated shockwaves to the affected area creates neovascularisation into the area and promotes tissue healing.47 Because re-inflammation is being induced, patients should not take anti-inflammatory medication, nor should they ice the area, but simple analgesics (such as paracetamol) may be acceptable. To date, the US Food and Drug Administration has only approved this treatment for plantar fasciitis and LE.48 Haake et al49 performed a placebo-controlled study entailing 3 weeks of ESWT versus placebo, but could not demonstrate any difference in outcomes, but more side-effects (reddening of skin and small haematomas) were reported with active treatment. In Hong Kong, a randomised controlled trial of 74 patients failed to demonstrate the beneficial effects of ESWT compared to placebo,50 as did another double-blind randomised controlled trial.51

Laser therapy
A recent systematic review and meta-analysis showed that laser therapy with an optimal dose of 904-nm wavelength applied to the extensor tendon insertions at the lateral elbow appears to provide short-term pain relief and reduce disability in LE, both alone and in combination with exercise therapy.52

Orthotics
Different commercially available ‘tennis elbow’ orthotics are being sold in stores. Most are in the form of tennis elbow braces made of neoprene material, and are not expensive. Whether they are useful is still not known. Jensen et al53 compared an off-the-shelf orthotic with steroid injections and concluded that both were similarly effective in early management. Wuori et al54 compared off-the-shelf orthotics with placebo braces and could not demonstrate any difference. Garg et al55 reported that for patients with LE, a wrist extension splint can allow a greater degree of pain relief than a forearm strap brace. The message of the Cochrane Library is that due to the limited number of trials, few outcome measures, and limited long-term results, no definite conclusions on their effectiveness can be drawn.56

Acupuncture
Acupuncture is a contemporary treatment modality for any type of painful condition, and LE is of no exception.57 Molsberger and Hille58 found that acupuncture could achieve pain relief for a longer period than placebo. Another study by Fink et al59 found that reduction in pain compared to placebo only occurred early after treatment but there was no difference after 2 months. Thus, there appears to be some evidence to support the efficacy of acupuncture over placebo, but the effect is not long-lasting.
Platelet-rich plasma injections

The use of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) injections has created a plethora of hope for curing LE. The patient’s own blood is drawn and centrifuged, and theuffy coat layer rich in platelet is isolated and injected into the patient. The PRP is rich in platelet-derived growth factors which are chemoattractive for white blood cells and mesenchymal stem cells. It also contains transforming growth factor–beta, which promotes cell mitosis and increases type I collagen production in tendon sheath fibroblasts. It also has vascular endothelial growth factor that stimulatesangiogenesis. These factors have been shown to be important in tendon repair.60 One randomised trial compared PRP injections with corticosteroid injections and reported superior cure rates and pain scores after PRP treatment.61 Currently, a large-scale study to evaluate the effectiveness of PRP is awaited, before definitive recommendations can be made for routine use. Regrettably, PRP treatment is not cheap and its cost-effectiveness is therefore an important consideration.

Hyaluronan gel injection and botulinum toxin

Hyaluronan gel injection is used in conditions such as osteoarthritis. A recent randomised controlled trial showed that for LE, it was superior to placebo injections.62 How it works is unclear but could be linked to effects on tendon degeneration; tendon being similar to cartilage, may derive benefit in LE akin to that in osteoarthritis. By contrast, injection of botulinum toxin into the extensor digitorum longus muscle of the third and fourth fingers to paralyse the muscle can unload the extensor tendon and help the patient recover from LE.63 Its disadvantage is that the patient cannot extend the third and fourth fingers for many months, which is disabling. It may be considered in patients with severe LE symptoms who do not want or are not suitable for surgery.

Surgery

It is estimated that about 4 to 11% patients ultimately undergo surgery.64 The usual indications include intractable symptoms, persistent symptoms despite conservative management (typically for at least12 months). Many surgical procedures have been reported, including extensor release with intra-articular modifications, extensor fasciotomy, V-Y slide of the common extensor tendon, denervation of the lateral epicondylose, epicondylyar resection with anconeus muscle transfer, and the Garden procedure with lengthening of the ECRB.65,66 Minimally invasive techniques are also available. It is beyond the scope of this review to describe each of these surgical procedures in detail. Regardless of the technique, successful treatment usually relies on patient selection, identification of pathology, and complete resection of the ECRB tendinosis. To date, evidence in support of surgery in LE is lacking, and the Cochrane Library has classified surgical treatment as having insufficient evidence to support or refute its use.67

Conclusion

Tennis elbow, or LE, is a common yet challenging condition to treat. Various non-surgical modalities have been described, the selection of which depends on experience of the management team, availability of the equipment, available expertise, and patient choice/response. In general, treatment can begin with patient education, application of commonly available treatments (physiotherapy, manual therapy, tennis elbow brace, as well as oral or topical NSAIDs). Steroid injection is not recommended as it lacks long-term benefit and is associated with a high relapse rate. When usual treatments fail to resolve symptoms, injection of PRP may be an option, but its efficacy and cost-effectiveness are not yet established. Injection of hyaluronate may also be tried before resorting to surgery. Surgery is usually indicated for resistant patients not responsive to non-surgical therapy. More research is needed to evaluate the best treatment modalities and protocols for LE sufferers.
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