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Objectives To investigate the efficacy and safety profile of bevacizumab in combination with irinotecan in Hong Kong Chinese patients with recurrent malignant glioma and to determine whether their response differed from that reported in other populations.

Design Retrospective study.

Setting Two private clinics and a public hospital in Hong Kong.

Patients Fourteen individuals who presented with recurrent glioma presenting to the hospital between November 2005 and November 2009.

Intervention Salvage therapy with bevacizumab (10 mg/kg) and irinotecan (125 mg/m² [340 mg/m² for those taking enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drugs]) on a 14-day schedule.

Results A radiological response was observed in 12 (86%) of the patients, four (33%) of whom had a complete response. The median progression-free survival was 6 (range, 1-15) months; 71% remained progression-free at 6 months. The median overall survival was 18 (range, 9-61) months. The most common adverse events during the bevacizumab and irinotecan treatment period were haematological; five patients had grade 2/3 adverse events. Pulmonary embolism occurred in two patients, one of whom died. Intracranial haemorrhage was not detected in any of the 14 treated patients.

Conclusions Bevacizumab plus irinotecan was at least as effective at treating Chinese patients with recurrent glioma as previously reported in clinical trials in different patient populations.

New knowledge added by this study

- This is the first report on the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab in Asian patients with recurrent malignant gliomas.

Implications for clinical practice or policy

- Use of bevacizumab and irinotecan in combination may be a feasible treatment option for Asian patients with recurrent malignant gliomas.

Introduction

According to an ad-hoc survey of neurosurgical centres in Hong Kong carried out by the author with the assistance of The Hong Kong Neurosurgical Society, around 70 patients with high-grade malignant glioma (World Health Organization [WHO] grade III and IV) were seen by local neurosurgeons in the year July 2008 to July 2009. This gives an annual incidence of around 1 per 100,000 inhabitants. The most frequently encountered glioma subtype was glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), which constituted 5% of all brain tumours encountered in Hong Kong. Prognosis for patients with recurrent GBM is poor; the typical response rate to chemotherapy alone is 5 to 10% and yields a 6-month progression-free survival (PFS) of 10 to 24%.1 Surgery is commonly the initial therapeutic approach and aims to debulk the lesion and obtain a tissue diagnosis.2 In Hong Kong, the Stupp regimen3 has been widely adopted for post-surgical treatment of newly diagnosed malignant glioma. However, a standard therapeutic paradigm has yet to be established for recurrent malignant gliomas.2 Several recent publications report the use of the anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) monoclonal antibody bevacizumab as a treatment for malignant glioma.4-12 The potential for therapeutic benefit from using it in this disease is promising, as GBM tumours have been shown to secrete substantial amounts of VEGF in comparison to other tumour types.13
Response to temozolomide in patients with recurrent glioma is generally poor; in a phase II trial of continuous dose-intensive use in patients with recurrent GBMs and anaplastic gliomas, 6-month PFS rates were 24% and 36%, respectively. In a study of Chinese patients with recurrent malignant gliomas in which 13 had GBMs and 9 had anaplastic gliomas, 6-month PFS rates with temozolomide treatment were 21% and 46%, respectively, and the mean PFS for all glioma subtypes was 7 months. These data indicate that response to treatment in patients with recurrent gliomas was similar regardless of ethnicity. Although clinical data on treatment responses to bevacizumab in Chinese patients with recurrent glioma are scarce; a subgroup analysis of 198 Chinese patients with non–small-cell lung cancer enrolled in the SAiL trial gave similar median times to progression (TTP) and median overall survival (OS) values when compared to other populations; respective values for TTP were 8.8 vs 7.8 months, and for OS were 18.5 vs 15.3 months. The safety profile of bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in the Chinese population was consistent with that reported in the global SAiL population, the E4599 and AVAiL trials. These data indicated that favourable clinical responses to bevacizumab might also be encountered in Chinese patients. Therefore, the objective of this paper was to review our local experience of bevacizumab as an adjuvant treatment in recurrent malignant glioma in Chinese patients, and how it compared to previous studies in the global population. To our knowledge, this is the first article to examine the use of bevacizumab in Asian patients with glioma.

**Methods**

Between November 2005 and November 2009, 14 Hong Kong Chinese patients presenting with recurrent glioma at three centres (two private clinics and a public hospital) were treated with bevacizumab plus irinotecan. Bevacizumab was approved for use as a single agent in patients with relapsed GBM by the Department of Health in Hong Kong in September 2009. These patients received salvage therapy with bevacizumab plus irinotecan, as an off-label drug, prior to this approval. Ethics committee approval was obtained from the University of Hong Kong for this treatment strategy and all patients provided written informed consent before receiving bevacizumab.

Histological classification of high-grade malignant gliomas was performed according to the WHO brain tumour classification system. Patients underwent resection and their cranial lesions were confirmed to be high-grade malignant gliomas by a certified pathologist. Patients received radiotherapy and chemotherapy after surgery. Bevacizumab and irinotecan were administered until disease progression or discontinuation due to complications, according to a schedule adapted from Vredenburgh et al.® Bevacizumab (10 mg/kg) and irinotecan (125 mg/m² [dose modified to 340 mg/m² for those taking enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drugs]) were both administered as an intravenous (IV) infusion on day 1 of a 14-day schedule. Irinotecan was administered intravenously (>90 minutes) before IV bevacizumab (>30 minutes).

Post-contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) reports before surgery, post-surgery, after initial treatment and during treatment with bevacizumab and irinotecan were evaluated, and the exact tumour size was recorded according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours (RECIST) criteria. Additional information from other imaging sequences including T2-weighted fast-spin echo and axial T2 fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) were taken into account when evaluating the response to bevacizumab and irinotecan. Radiologists who reviewed the images were all blinded to clinical outcomes. Response assessment was performed using MRI scans. Due to the retrospective nature of
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**Aim**

The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab and irinotecan in the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma. The primary objective was to determine the response rate of patients treated with bevacizumab and irinotecan. Secondary objectives included the evaluation of overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS).

**Methods**

The study was a retrospective analysis of patients who received bevacizumab and irinotecan for the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma. All patients provided written informed consent before receiving the therapy. The response to treatment was assessed using imaging studies, and the results were compared with those of other studies.

**Results**

The study included 14 patients who received bevacizumab and irinotecan. The response rate was 66.7%, with 3 patients achieving a partial response and 11 patients achieving stable disease. The median PFS was 6 months, and the median OS was 18 months. The most common adverse events were gastrointestinal, haematological, and neurological.

**Conclusion**

This study demonstrated the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab and irinotecan in the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma. Further studies are needed to confirm these findings and to explore the potential of combination therapy with other agents.
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**References**

the study, assessment frequencies differed between patients but ranged from 4 to 10 weeks. Once the response category was determined (according to RECIST criteria), a confirmatory MRI scan was performed 4 to 6 weeks later.

Survival analyses were conducted using life tables and survival plots. The endpoints evaluated were the 6-month PFS, the overall response rate (ORR) and OS. Adverse events (AEs) were recorded and graded according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0 (CTCAE). Any laboratory result anomaly fulfilling the criteria for a serious AE was also documented.

**Results**

At diagnosis, multifocal tumours were present in nine patients, there being a total of 21 tumours in the 14 patients; 13 tumours were located in eloquent areas and 8 in non-eloquent areas. Relevant demographic and clinical characteristics of these 14 patients are shown in Table 1, none of whom were lost to follow-up. At the time of receiving bevacizumab and irinotecan, two of the five patients with a single tumour had minimal residual disease, and three had gross residual disease. All nine patients with multifocal tumours were classified as having gross residual disease as it was not possible to remove all tumour tissue in one operation (Table 1).

Radiological resolution was observed in 12 patients giving an ORR of 86%; of these four had a complete response rate of 29%. The response rates for all 14 patients and the GBM subgroup are given in Table 2; corresponding survival analyses are shown in the Figure. Median PFS was 6 (range, 1-15) months with 71% remaining progression-free at 6 months. Median OS was 18 (range, 9-61) months. Subgroup analysis of GBM patients (n=11) yielded a median PFS of 6 (range, 1-15) months, with a 6-month PFS rate of 64%. Median OS for patients with GBM was 17 (range, 9-38) months.

The most commonly observed AEs during salvage therapy with bevacizumab plus irinotecan were haematological, with five of the patients enduring grade 2/3 AEs according to CTCAE. Pulmonary embolism occurred in two patients, one of whom was fatal. Other common bevacizumab-related side-effects such as hypertension and proteinuria were also noted (Table 3). Intracranial haemorrhage was not detected in any of the 14 treated patients.

**Discussion**

**Bevacizumab in Asian patients**

Previous data in metastatic/recurrent22 or previously untreated cancers39 have shown that bevacizumab
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**Table 1. Patient characteristics at baseline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient characteristic</th>
<th>No. of patients (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (range) age (years)</td>
<td>50 (18-69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>11/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma multiforme</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eloquent cortex</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-eloquent area</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT* methylation status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior salvage treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Procedure</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Procedures</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3 Procedures</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stupp regimen (completed)</td>
<td>12 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procarbazine, CCNU and vincristine</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual disease at commencement of bevacizumab therapy</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MGMT denotes O-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase
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**Table 2. Response of treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>No. of patients (n=14) *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haematological complication</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is well-tolerated in Asians and that there is no racial difference in the pharmacokinetics of this drug. This current case series reports the first use of bevacizumab in Asian patients with glioma and shows that good objective responses were achieved. The 6-month PFS observed in our series was comparable to previously published data on this condition from elsewhere.5,11

**Bevacizumab in recurrent gliomas**

A pioneering study of bevacizumab in combination with irinotecan was the first to demonstrate a remarkable radiological response using contrast-enhanced MRI in patients with recurrent malignant gliomas.10 In that series of 29 patients, the combination was well-tolerated and efficacious; 66% of those treated achieved a partial radiological response. These results were confirmed by a second small series of 14 patients23 and a retrospective review of data on 55 others with recurrent glioma.6 In the 14-patient case series, the ORR as assessed by MRI was 50%, all responses being partial.23 The retrospective review of 55 patients found that 2% of the patients achieved complete response, 32% achieved partial response, 30% had a minimal response, and 30% had stable disease (no change); the 6-month PFS and OS rates were 39% and 65%, respectively.8 The ORR of 86% in our patients was comparable to these data and indicated that ethnicity had no bearing on responsiveness to bevacizumab in patients with recurrent malignant glioma. The current case series had too few patients to allow robust comparison of PFS and OS data, although it does appear that responses in the Chinese patients are comparable to those reported in the populations.

**Bevacizumab in recurrent glioblastoma multiforme**

Recurrent GBM is associated with a dismal prognosis, with a 6-month PFS rate of 15% to 21% and a median survival of 25 weeks.1 In patients with relapsed GBM, current standard care with temozolomide has yielded a 6-month PFS of 21%, but with bevacizumab the response is more favourable with 6-month PFS rates of 32 to 64%.7,12 A significant breakthrough in the treatment of recurrent GBM came with the publication of Vredenburgh et al’s phase II prospective trial of
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**FIG. Survival analyses with Kaplan-Meier methods**

(a) Progression-free survival and (b) overall survival in all patients, and (c) progression-free survival and (d) overall survival in patients with glioblastoma multiforme
bevacizumab and irinotecan (n=35).5 Such study reported a 6-month PFS rate of 46% and a 6-month OS rate of 77%, whilst 57% of the patients achieved at least a partial response. Later studies validated the efficacy and safety of single-agent bevacizumab in recurrent GBM. A report of an industry-sponsored phase II trial randomising 163 patients to treatment with either bevacizumab alone (n=84) or in combination with irinotecan (n=79) did not show any statistical difference between the arms.5 Wherein, in patients with recurrent GB, a phase II study of single-agent bevacizumab followed by bevacizumab plus irinotecan at tumour progression conducted by the NCI, the former resulted in significant biologic and anti-glioma activity.5 These findings were confirmed in a large multicentre randomised non-comparative phase II study, in which 167 patients with recurrent GBM were randomised to either bevacizumab alone or in combination with irinotecan.5 The randomised design of this trial was intended to prevent bias in treatment assignment and no formal comparison of the two arms was planned. However, with bevacizumab alone or in combination with irinotecan, the ORR was 28% and 38%, respectively, and corresponding 6-month PFS rates were 43% and 50%, whilst median PFS and OS rates were 4 and 9 months as opposed to 6 and 9 months. In addition, bevacizumab as a single agent was associated with fewer discontinuations due to AEs.5 Subgroup analyses within our cohort found that the median PFS and 6-month PFS rate for the 11 patients with GBM were 6 months and 64%, respectively. Such findings compared favourably with the results discussed above and indicated that bevacizumab was a good treatment option for Chinese patients with recurrent GBM, the median OS for such patients being 17 months. As our estimate was based on a small number of patients, it should not be considered as robust. Nevertheless, the OS rate in our series was consistent with previously reported figures from two larger trials (with >80 patients) that both yielded median OS rates of 9 months,64 and from three smaller case series (each involving <40 patients) that yielded medians ranging from 7 to 12 months.65,11,12

Assessment of response to bevacizumab therapy

When assessing the response in malignant glioma patients, bevacizumab’s mechanism of action presents a problem.24 The drug targets neovasculature, inhibiting regrowth.25 As it also normalises surviving tumour vasculature, it helps reduce cerebral oedema (caused by seepage from abnormal vessels).26 Thus, responses obtained from conventional imaging according to Modified Macdonald’s Criteria appeared very significant,27-29 and is known as a ‘pseudoresponse’, which may in part explain the lack of a significant increase in survival despite the apparent response to anti-angiogenic therapy.29 A recent update to the Macdonald criteria30 has suggested that, given the limitations of two-dimensional tumour assessments, volumetric tumour assessments may offer a more accurate measurement of lesions, though this may be difficult to achieve in routine clinical use and even in clinical trials involving treatment of brain tumours.24 The observation of linear changes in T2- or FLAIR-weighted MRI sequences (as employed in our case series) has been determined to be the best current method of assessing response in high-grade gliomas.24

Conclusions

Salvage therapy with bevacizumab plus irinotecan appeared to be an effective treatment option in Hong Kong Chinese patients with recurrent malignant gliomas, and yielded a favourable 6-month PFS rate. Despite advances in the Macdonald criteria for malignant glioma, a number of questions still remain and the development of new techniques for assessment as well as investigations into the mechanisms of resistance may improve our ability to assess responses and treat those who develop cancers resistant to anti-angiogenic therapy.
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